U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
Kansas City District

KANSAS CITYS, MISSOURI AND KANSAS
FLOOD DAMAGE REDUCTION
FEASIBILITY STUDY

ENGINEERING APPENDIX TO THE INTERIM FEASIBILITY REPORT

August, 2006

ENGINEERING APPENDIX A
Kansas Citys, Missouri and Kansas
Flood Damage Reduction Project Feasibility Study

TABLE OF CONTENTS

A-1 **GENERAL**

A-1.1 Background……..... 1-1
A-1.2 General Description of Levee Units…… 1-2
A-1.2.1 Argentine Unit…… ... 1-2
A-1.2.2 Armourdale Unit……... ……1-3
A-1.2.3 Birmingham Unit……... 1-3
A-1.2.4 Central Industrial District – Kansas Unit..... 1-3
A-1.2.5 Central Industrial District – Missouri Unit..... 1-4
A-1.2.6 East Bottoms Unit..... 1-4
A-1.2.7 Fairfax-Jersey Creek Unit..... 1-4
A-1.2.8 North Kansas City – Airport Section..... 1-5
A-1.2.9 North Kansas City – Lower Section 1-5
A-1.3 Sponsors and Ownership…… . 1-7
A-1.4 Study Development…… . 1-7
A-1.5 Levee/Floodwall Features Inventory…….. …1-20
A-1.5.1 Argentine Unit…… . 1-22
A-1.5.2 Armourdale Unit…….. ……1-38
A-1.5.3 Birmingham Unit…… 1-60
A-1.5.4 Central Industrial District – Kansas Unit..... 1-76
A-1.5.5 Central Industrial District – Missouri Unit..... 1-95
A-1.5.6 East Bottoms Unit..... 1-109
A-1.5.7 Fairfax-Jersey Creek Unit..... 1-129
A-1.5.8 North Kansas City – Airport Unit..... 1-148
A-1.5.9 North Kansas City – Lower Unit..... 1-160

A-2 **HYDROLOGY AND HYDRAULICS**

A-2.1 Introduction..... 2-1
A-2.2 Stream and Valley Characteristics..... 2-1
A-2.2.1 Missouri River…… ..... ……2-1
A-2.2.2 Kansas River……..... 2-2
A-2.3 Flood History 2-2
A-2.4 Hydrology 2-2
A-2.4.1 Original Levee Design Discharges .... ……2-3
A-2.4.2 Missouri River Agricultural Levee Restudy Program (1962)……..... 2-4
A-2.4.3 Upper Mississippi River System Flow Frequency Study (2001) and Kansas River Hydrology Study (2002)……..... 2-4

A-2.4.4 Traditional Analysis vs. Risk Based Analysis……..... 2-6
A-2.4.5 Hydrologic Uncertainty…… 2-7
A-2.5 Hydraulics..... 2-7
A-2.5.1 HEC-RAS Model Development ..... ……2-7
A-2.5.2 River System Schematic and Cross-Section Data… 2-7
A-2.5.3 Bridge Data……..... 2-8
A-2.5.4 Other Geometric Data……..... 2-10
A-2.5.5 1993 Flow Data……..... 2-10
A-2.5.6 Calibration…… 2-11
A-2.6 Existing Conditions 2-13
A-2.6.1 Missouri River Water Surface Profiles.... ……2-13
A-2.6.2 Kansas River Water Surface Profiles… 2-15
A-2.6.3 Hydraulic Uncertainty…… 2-17
A-2.7 Levee Tieback Analysis..... 2-18
A-2.7.1 Introduction..... ……2-18
A-2.7.2 Birmingham Levee Unit… 2-19
A-2.7.3 East Bottoms Levee Unit…… 2-20
A-2.7.4 North Kansas City Levee Unit…..... 2-21
A-2.8 Interior Floodwater/Exterior Water Surface Relationships 2-22
A-2.8.1 Introduction..... ……2-22
A-2.8.2 Approach…..... 2-22
A-2.8.3 Results……..... 2-23
A-2.9 Interior Stormwater Flooding Evaluation..... 2-24
A-2.9.1 Introduction..... ……2-24
A-2.9.2 Drainage Control Structure Preliminary Screening..... 2-24
A-2.9.3 Hydrologic and Hydraulic Analysis…… 2-25
A-2.9.4 Flood Inundation Mapping ..... ……2-26
A-2.9.5 Critical Drainage Control Structure Screening..... 2-26
A-2.10 Bridge Hydraulic Impact Analysis 2-27
A-2.11 Future Conditions Without Project (Baseline) 2-28
A-2.11.1 Future Changes to Missouri River Model…… 2-29
A-2.11.2 Future Changes to Kansas River Model 2-30
A-2.11.3 Future Conditions Water Surface Profiles……..... 2-31
A-2.11.4 Hydraulic Uncertainty ..... ……2-32
A-2.12 Interim Feasibility – Future Conditions with Project 2-32
A-2.12.1 Argentine Unit Levee Raise..... 2-33
A-2.12.2 Seepage Controls, Missouri and Blue Rivers Confluence Area, East Bottoms Unit..... 2-33
A-2.12.3 Rehabilitation/Improvement of Floodwall, Fairfax-Jersey Creek Unit 2-35
A-2.12.4 Rehabilitation/Improvement of Sheetpile Wall, Fairfax-Jersey Creek Unit..... ……2-35
A-2.12.5 Seepage Controls, Harlem Area, North Kansas City Unit…..... 2-35
A-2.12.6 Seepage Controls, National Starch Area, North Kansas City Unit..... 2-35

A-2.12.7 Kansas River Tree Removal 2-35
A-2.12.8 Kansas River Tree Removal and Channel Modification 2-36
A-2.13 References..... 2-38
A-2.14 Supplemental Exhibits 2-40

A-3 **SURVEYING, MAPPING, AND OTHER GEOSPATIAL DATA REQUIREMENTS**
A-3.1 Information to Support Preparation of Feasibility Report..... 3-1
A-3.1.1 Surveys ..... ……3-1
A-3.1.2 Geospatial Data..... 3-2
A-3.2 Survey Information Needed for Design, Plans, and Specs..... 3-4
A-3.3 Supplemental Exhibits 3-5

A-4 **GEOTECHNICAL ANALYSIS – EXISTING CONDITIONS**
A-4.1 Introduction..... 4-1
A-4.2 Sources of Information 4-1
A-4.3 Description of Levee Units..... 4-1
A-4.3.1 Argentine Unit……. 4-1
A-4.3.2 Armourdale Unit……..... 4-1
A-4.3.3 Birmingham Unit……..... 4-2
A-4.3.4 Central Industrial District - Kansas Unit (CID-KS) 4-2
A-4.3.5 Central Industrial District - Missouri Unit (CID-MO) .. 4-3
A-4.3.6 East Bottoms Unit..... 4-3
A-4.3.7 Fairfax-Jersey Creek Unit..... 4-4
A-4.3.8 North Kansas City - Airport Unit 4-4
A-4.3.9 North Kansas City - Lower Unit..... 4-4
A-4.4 Site Conditions..... 4-4
A-4.4.1 General Geology of the Region (Missouri River)……. 4-4
A-4.4.2 General Geology of the Region (Kansas River)…… 4-5
A-4.4.3 Subsurface Conditions……..... 4-5
A-4.5 Levee Design Features..... 4-5
A-4.5.1 Basic Levee Sections 4-5
A-4.5.2 Seepage Control Measures…… 4-6
A-4.5.3 Stability Berms…… 4-6
A-4.6 Assessment of Levee Integrity..... 4-6
A-4.7 Probabilistic Theory..... 4-7
A-4.7.1 Probabilistic Parameters 4-7
A-4.7.2 Probabilistic Distributions…… 4-8
A-4.7.3 Probabilistic Measure of Stability for Slopes…… 4-9
A-4.7.4 Probabilistic Measure of Stability for Underseepage Using Flow Nets 4-10
A-4.7.5 Probabilistic Measure of Stability for Underseepage Without Flow Nets..... 4-10
A-4.7.6 Taylor Series Approximation Method for Determining Risk and Uncertainty Analysis……..... 4-11

A-4.8 Uncertainty Analyses 4-13
A-4.8.1 General 4-13
A-4.8.2 Soil Properties and Variations 4-14
A-4.8.3 Probabilistic Underseepage Analysis Using a Flow Net 4-16
A-4.8.4 Probabilistic Underseepage Analysis Using the Kansas City District Criteria 4-17
A-4.8.5 Probabilistic Slope Stability Analysis 4-18
A-4.8.6 Combined Probability of Failure Due to the Slope Stability and Underseepage 4-20
A-4.9 Results for the Risk-Based Analyses of the Kansas City – Missouri and Kansas Flood Protection Project 4-20
A-4.9.1 Argentine Levee Unit Results 4-20
A-4.9.2 Armourdale Levee Unit Results 4-21
A-4.9.3 Birmingham Levee Unit Results 4-21
A-4.9.4 Central Industrial District-Kansas (CID-KS) Levee Unit Results 4-21
A-4.9.5 Central Industrial District-Missouri (CID-MO) Levee Unit Results 4-22
A-4.9.6 East Bottoms Levee Unit Results 4-22
A-4.9.7 Fairfax-Jersey Creek Unit Results 4-23
A-4.9.8 North Kansas City (Airport and Lower)Levee Unit Results 4-23
A-4.10 Summary 4-24
A-4.11 References 4-25
A-4.12 Supplemental Exhibits and Tables 4-28

A-5 **GEOTECHNICAL ANALYSIS – ARGENTINE RAISE**
A-5.1 Introduction 5-1
A-5.2 Sources of Information 5-1
A-5.3 Description of the Levee Unit 5-1
A-5.4 Site Conditions 5-1
A-5.4.1 General Geology of the Region (Kansas River) 5-1
A-5.4.2 Subsurface Conditions 5-1
A-5.5 Basic Existing Levee Sections 5-2
A-5.6 Underseepage Anaylsis 5-3
A-5.7 Stability Analysis 5-9
A-5.7.1 Nominal 500-Year Raise 5-9
A-5.7.2 Nominal 500-Year Raise Plus 3 Feet 5-10
A-5.7.3 Nominal 500-Year Raise Plus 5 Feet 5-10
A-5.8 Relief Wells 5-14
A-5.9 Recommended Plan 5-14
A-5.10 References 5-15
A-5.11 Supplemental Exhibits 5-18

A-6 **GEOTECHNICAL ANALYSIS - EAST BOTTOMS (MISSOURI AND BLUE RIVERS CONFLUENCE AREA)**
A-6.1 Introduction..... 6-1
A-6.2 Sources of Existing Levee Design Information..... 6-1
A-6.3 Description of the Levee Unit..... 6-1
A-6.4 Levee Design Features..... 6-1
A-6.4.1 Basic Existing Levee and Floodwall Sections..... ……6-1
A-6.4.2 Future Flood Protection Concerns…..... 6-1
A-6.4.3 Area Site Characterization..... ……6-2
A-6.4.4 Underseepage AnalysEs… 6-2
A-6.5 References..... 6-6
A-6.6 Supplemental Exhibits..... 6-8

A-7 **GEOTECHNICAL ANALYSIS – FAIRFAX-JERSEY CREEK (BPU FLOODWALL)**
A-7.1 Introduction..... 7-1
A-7.2 Sources of Existing Levee Design Information..... 7-1
A-7.3 Description of the Levee Unit..... 7-1
A-7.4 Levee Design Features..... 7-1
A-7.4.1 Existing Levee and Floodwall Sections..... ……7-1
A-7.4.2 Future Flood Protection Concerns…..... 7-1
A-7.4.3 Area Site Characterization..... ……7-1
A-7.4.4 Pile Capacity…..... 7-2
A-7.4.5 Underseepage Analysis..... ……7-2
A-7.5 References..... 7-3
A-7.6 Supplemental Exhibits..... 7-5

A-8 **GEOTECHNICAL ANALYSIS – FAIRFAX-JERSEY CREEK (JERSEY CREEK SHEET PILE WALL)**
A-8.1 Introduction..... 8-1
A-8.2 Sources of Existing Levee Design Information..... 8-1
A-8.3 Description of the Levee Unit..... 8-1
A-8.4 Levee Design Features..... 8-1
A-8.4.1 Basic Existing Levee and Floodwall Sections..... ……8-1
A-8.4.2 Future Flood Protection Concerns…..... 8-1
A-8.4.3 Area Site Characterization..... ……8-2
A-8.5 Analysis Model for Risk and Uncertainty 8-4
A-8.5.1 River Bed Scour Determination..... 8-4
A-8.5.2 Loss of Sheet Pile Wall..... 8-6
A-8.5.3 First Loss of Foreshore Bank..... 8-7
A-8.5.4 Secondary Loss of Foreshore Bank Including Levee with I-Wall Flood Protection 8-9
A-8.5.5 Resulting Reliability of the Existing Cross Section Station 27+50..... 8-10
A-8.6 Recommended Course of Action..... 8-11
A-8.7 References..... 8-13

A-8.6 Supplemental Exhibits 8-14

A-9 **GEOTECHNICAL ANALYSIS –**
NORTH KANSAS CITY - LOWER (HARLEM AREA)
A-9.1 Introduction..... 9-1
A-9.2 Sources of Existing Levee Design Information..... 9-1
A-9.3 Description of the Levee Unit..... 9-1
A-9.4 Levee Design Features..... 9-1
A-9.4.1 Existing Levee and Floodwall Sections..... ……9-1
A-9.4.2 Future Flood Protection Concerns… 9-2
A-9.4.3 Area Site Characterization..... ……9-2
A-9.4.4 Underseepage Analyses… 9-2
A-9.4.5 Reassessment of Existing Risk and Uncertainty… 9-5
A-9.5 References..... 9-7
A-9.6 Supplemental Exhibits 9-9

A-10 **GEOTECHNICAL ANALYSIS –**
NORTH KANSAS CITY – LOWER (NATIONAL STARCH AREA)
A-10.1 Introduction..... 10-1
A-10.2 Sources of Existing Levee Design Information..... 10-1
A-10.3 Description of the Levee Units 10-1
A-10.4 Levee Design Features..... 10-1
A-10.4.1 Existing Levee and Floodwall Sections..... ……10-1
A-10.4.2 Future Flood Protection Concerns… 10-2
A-10.4.3 Area Site Characterization..... ……10-2
A-10.4.4 Underseepage Analysis…..... 10-3
A-10.4.5 Proposed Future Conditions Assessment..... ……10-5
A-10.4.6 Reassessment of Existing Risk and Uncertainty… ... 10-6
A-10.5 References..... 10-8
A-10.6 Supplemental Exhibits 10-10

A-11 **CIVIL DESIGN**
A-11.1 Site Selection and Project Development (Argentine Unit)..... 11-1
A-11.1.1 Introduction..... ……11-1
A-11.1.2 Levee Footprint…..... 11-1
A-11.1.3 Bridge Clearances..... ……11-2
A-11.2 Real Estate (Argentine Unit) 11-2
A-11.3 Utility Relocations (Argentine Unit) 11-2
A-11.3.1 Utility Levee Crossings ..... ……11-3
A-11.3.2 Power Lines… 11-5
A-11.3.3 Utility Uplift ..... ……11-5
A-11.4 East Bottoms Unit (Missouri and Blue Rivers
Confluence Area 11-6
A-11.5 Fairfax-Jersey Creek Unit (BPU Floodwall) 11-6
A-11.6 North Kansas City-Lower Unit (Harlem Area) 11-9
A-11.6.1 Introduction..... ……11-9

A-11.6.2 Levee Footprint…. 11-9
A-11.6.3 Proposed Levee Modifications (Buried Collector System) 11-9
A-11.6.4 Utility Relocations and Coordination… 11-9
A-11.7 North Kansas City-Lower Unit (National Starch Area) 11-10
A-11.7.1 Introduction 11-10
A-11.7.2 Levee Footprint…. 11-11
A-11.7.3 Proposed Modifications (Relief Well System Header and Pump Station) 11-11
A-11.7.4 Utility Relocations and Coordination… 11-13
A-11.8 References 11-15
A-11.9 Supplemental Exhibits 11-16

A-12 **STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS – EXISTING CONDITIONS**
A-12.1 Introduction 12-1
A-12.2 Description of Levee Units – Structural Aspects 12-1
A-12.2.1 Argentine Unit 12-1
A-12.2.2 Armourdale Unit 12-1
A-12.2.3 Birmingham Unit 12-2
A-12.2.4 Central Industrial District-Kansas Unit 12-2
A-12.2.5 Central Industrial District-Missouri Unit 12-3
A-12.2.6 East Bottoms Unit 12-3
A-12.2.7 Fairfax-Jersey Creek Unit 12-3
A-12.2.8 North Kansas City-Airport Unit 12-4
A-12.2.9 North Kansas City-Lower Unit 12-4
A-12.3 Floodwall Analysis 12-4
A-12.3.1 General 12-4
A-12.3.2 Methodology 12-5
A-12.3.3 Data Gathering 12-5
A-12.3.4 Floodwalls on Spread Footing 12-5
A-12.3.5 Floodwalls on Piles 12-6
A-12.3.6 Floodwalls on Concrete Capped Sheet Piling 12-7
A-12.3.7 Results 12-7
A-12.4 Retaining Wall Analysis 12-8
A-12.5 Closure Structures 12-8
A-12.6 Summary 12-9
A-12.7 Existing Conditions Addendum to Argentine Analysis 12-9
A-12.7.1 Criteria 12-10
A-12.7.2 Floodwalls 12-12
A-12.7.3 Stop Log Gaps 12-13
A-12.7.4 Gatewells 12-13
A-12.7.5 Reinforced Concrete Box Culverts 12-14
A-12.8 Supplemental Exhibits 12-18

A-13 **STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS – ARGENTINE RAISE**
A-13.1 Introduction..... 13-1
A-13.2 Criteria 13-1
A-13.2.1 Stability Requirements……..... 13-1
A-13.2.2 Strength Requirements..... ……13-2
A-13.2.3 Uncertainty Analysis 13-2
A-13.3 Argentine Unit 13-4
A-13.3.1 Description of the Levee Unit – Structural..... 13-4
A-13.3.2 Assumptions ..... ……13-4
A-13.3.3 Floodwalls……..... 13-4
A-13.3.4 Stop Log Gaps 13-6
A-13.3.5 Gatewells and Outlets……..... 13-7
A-13.3.6 I-Wall..... 13-8
A-13.3.7 Reinforced Concrete Box Culverts..... 13-10
A-13.4 References..... 13-12

A-14 **STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS – FAIRFAX-JERSEY CREEK (BPU FLOODWALL)**
A-14.1 Introduction..... 14-1
A-14.2 Criteria 14-1
A-14.2.1 Capacity Requirements……..... 14-1
A-14.2.2 Strength Requirements..... ……14-1
A-14.2.3 Uncertainty Analysis 14-2
A-14.3 Fairfax-Jersey Creek Unit..... 14-3
A-14.3.1 Description of the Fairfax-Jersey Creek Unit – Structures 14-3
A-14.3.2 Assumptions ..... ……14-3
A-14.3.3 Floodwall 287+86 to 302+32…… 14-3
A-14.3.4 Floodwall Modifications 287+86 to 302+32 14-6
A-14.3.5 Stop Log Gap……..... 14-7
A-12.3.6 Controlling Mechanism 14-7
A-14.4 References..... 14-9
A-14.5 Supplemental Exhibits..... 14-10

A-15 **ARGENTINE UNIT PUMP STATION ANALYSIS**
A-15.1 Summary..... 15-1
A-15.1.1 Sources of Information 15-1
A-15.1.2 Recommendations..... 15-1
A-15.1.3 Information Used in the Economic Model 15-3
A-15.1.4 Summary Table of Pump Station Modifications 15-4
A-15.2 Federal Pump Station Modifications 15-5
A-15.2.1 Background..... 15-5
A-15.2.2 Existing and Future Conditions Evaluation..... 15-6
A-15.2.3 Alternatives Evaluation 15-18
A-15.2.4 Recommended Alternatives Summary 15-22
A-15.3 Private Pump Station Modifications..... 15-24

A-15.3.1 Bulk Mail Pump Station 15-24
A-15.3.2 ConAgra Pump Station 15-25
A-15.3.3 Santa Fe Pump Station 15-26
A-15.4 Supplemental Exhibits 15-28

A-16 **COST ENGINEERING**
A-16.1 Total Project Cost Summaries 16-1
A-16.2 Argentine Raise 16-9
A-16.3 East Bottoms (Hawthorn Pump Plant Area) 16-61
A-16.4 Fairfax-Jersey Creek (BPU Floodwall) 16-75
A-16.5 Fairfax-Jersey Creek (Jersey Creek Sheetpile Wall) 16-100
A-16.6 North Kansas City-Lower (Harlem Area) 16-115
A-16.7 North Kansas City-Lower (National Search Area) 16-129

A-17 **CONSTRUCTION PROCEDURES AND WATER CONTROL PLAN**
A-17.1 Introduction 17-1
A-17.2 Argentine Raise 17-1
A-17.2.1 Site Constraints 17-1
A-17.2.2 Material Sources 17-2
A-17.2.3 Construction Procedures 17-2
A-17.2.4 Water Control 17-4
A-17.3 East Bottoms (Missouri and Blue Rivers Confluence Area) 17-5
A-17.3.1 Site Constraints 17-5
A-17.3.2 Material Sources 17-5
A-17.3.3 Construction Procedures 17-5
A-17.3.4 Water Control 17-5
A-17.4 Fairfax-Jersey Creek (BPU Floodwall) 17-5
A-17.4.1 Site Constraints 17-6
A-17.4.2 Material Sources 17-6
A-17.4.3 Construction Procedures 17-6
A-17.4.4 Water Control 17-6
A-17.5 Fairfax-Jersey Creek (Jersey Creek Sheetpile Wall) 17-7
A-17.5.1 Site Constraints 17-7
A-17.5.2 Material Sources 17-7
A-17.5.3 Construction Procedures 17-7
A-17.5.4 Water Control 17-7
A-17.6 North Kansas City-Lower (Harlem Area) 17-7
A-17.6.1 Site Constraints 17-7
A-17.6.2 Material Sources 17-8
A-17.6.3 Construction Procedures 17-8
A-17.6.4 Water Control 17-8
A-17.7 North Kansas City-Lower (National Starch Area) 17-8
A-17.7.1 Site Constraints 17-8
A-17.7.2 Material Sources 17-8
A-17.7.3 Construction Procedures 17-8
A-17.7.4 Water Control 17-9

A-18 **ACCESS ROADS** 18-1
A-18.1 Argentine Raise 18-1
A-18.2 East Bottoms (Missouri and Blue Rivers Confluence Area) 18-4
A-18.3 Fairfax-Jersey Creek (BPU Floodwall) 18-6
A-18.4 Fairfax-Jersey Creek (Jersey Creek Sheetpile Wall) 18-8
A-18.5 North Kansas City-Lower (Harlem Area) 18-10
A-18.6 North Kansas City-Lower (National Starch Area) 18-12

A-19 **SCHEDULE FOR DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION**
A-19.1 Scheduling 19-1

INDEX TO ENGINEERING APPENDIX PLATES

Plate A-1.1 Location and Vicinity of Kansas Citys Flood Protection Project..... 1-6

Plate A-1.2 Argentine Footprint Mapping (1 of 5)……..... 1-10

Plate A-1.3 Argentine Footprint Mapping (2 of 5)……..... 1-11

Plate A-1.4 Argentine Footprint Mapping (3 of 5)……..... 1-12

Plate A-1.5 Argentine Footprint Mapping (4 of 5)……..... 1-13

Plate A-1.6 Argentine Footprint Mapping (5 of 5)……..... 1-14

Plate A-1.7 East Bottoms (Missouri and Blue Rivers Confluence Area)
Footprint Mapping 1-15

Plate A-1.8 Fairfax-Jersey Creek (BPU Floodwall) Footprint Mapping…..... 1-16

Plate A-1.9 Fairfax-Jersey Creek (Jersey Creek Sheet Pile Wall)
Footprint Mapping…. 1-17

Plate A-1.10 NKC-Lower (Harlem Area) Footprint Mapping 1-18

Plate A-1.11 NKC-Lower (National Starch Area) Footprint Mapping 1-19

INDEX TO ENGINEERING APPENDIX TABLES

Table A-2.1 Ten Largest Floods on the Missouri River in the Vicinity of the Kansas Citys Study Area 1897-1997……..... 2-2

Table A-2.2 Kansas City Metropolitan Area Levees Design and Coincident Discharges - Missouri and Kansas Rivers…….. 2-3

Table A-2.3 Discharges Used to Design Kansas River Kansas City Metropolitan Area Levees…… 2-4

Table A-2.4 Flow Frequency from March 1962 Hydrology Report……..... 2-4

Table A-2.5 Flow Frequency Data as Developed in Upper Mississippi River System Flow Frequency Study and Kansas River Hydrology Report……..... 2-5

Table A-2.6 Summary of Flood Discharges Used in this Study……..... 2-6

Table A-2.7 Kansas River Bridges Critical Low Chord Elevations…….. 2-9

Table A-2.8 Comparison of 1993 High-Water Mark Elevations and Computed Water Surface Elevations (Missouri River)…….. 2-11

Table A-2.9 Comparison of 1993 Highwater Mark Elevations and Computed Water Surface Elevations (Kansas River)……..... 2-12

Table A-2.10 Kansas Citys Study Roughness Coefficient Range (Manning's "n" values)……..... 2-12

Table A-2.11 Kansas Citys Study Missouri River Discharges (Lower Missouri River Control)…… 2-14

Table A-2.12 Kansas Citys Study Missouri River Discharges (Upper Missouri River Control)……..... 2-14

Table A-2.13 Kansas Citys Study Kansas River Discharges (Kansas River Control)… ..... …2-16

Table A-2.14 Kansas Citys Study Kansas River Discharges (Lower Missouri River Control)…… 2-16

Table A-2.15 Kansas Citys Study Area Levee Tiebacks……..... 2-19

Table A-2.16 North Kansas City Hillside Drainage Ditch Peak Discharge Values.. 2-21

Table A-2.17 Results of Bridge Hydraulic Impact Analysis……..... 2-28

Table A-4.1 Observations of Seepage Conditions During 1952 Flooding on the Missouri River at the Kansas Citys flood control project are consistent with these results…..... 4-35

Table A-4.2 Effective Strength Data Used for the CH, CL and ML Materials…… 4-36

Table A-4.3 Values Used for Flow Net Transformation on the North Kansas City-Lower Levee Unit……..... 4-37

Table A-4.4 Permeability Ratios for Blanket Material Based on Material Type….. 4-37

Table A-4.5 Probabilistic Parameters for Blanket Thickness for the Argentine Levee Unit…..... 4-39

Table A-4.6 Armourdale Probabilistic Parameters for the Blanket Thickness Reach 81+00 to 92+23……..... 4-40

Table A-4.7 Birmingham Probabilistic Parameters for the Blanket Thickness Reach 189+00 to 220+00……..... 4-41

Table A-4.8 CID-KS Probabilistic Parameters for the Blanket Thickness Reach 41+50 to 79+37……..... 4-43

Table A-4.9 CID-MO Probabilistic Parameters for the Blanket Thickness Reach 78+00 to 83+00……..... 4-44

Table A-4.10 Probabilistic Parameters for Blanket Thickness for the East Bottoms Unit Reach 349+00 to 399+54…….. 4-45

Table A-4.11 Probabilistic Parameters for Blanket Thickness for the Fairfax-Jersey Creek Unit Reach 302+00 to 312+25…….. 4-46

Table A-4.12 Summary of Risk-based Analyses of Existing Conditions for the Levee Units Considered in the Feasibility Study Giving Station and Probability of Failure (P_f) at the 1993 Flood Elevation and at the Top of Levee 4-49

Table A-5.1 Geotechnical Soil Parameters……..... 5-2

Table A-5.2 Permeability Ratios for Blanket Materials…… . 5-4

Table A-5.3 Required Underseepage Control for Embankments and Foundation, Nominal 500-Year Level of Protection ……5-6

Table A-5.4 Required Underseepage Control for Embankments and Foundation, Nominal 500-Year + 3 Feet Level of Protection…… 5-7

Table A-5.5 Required Underseepage Control for Embankments and Foundation, Nominal 500-Year + 5 Feet Level of Protection…… . 5-8

Table A-5.6 Recommended Flood Protection Raise Stability Berm Summary Nominal 500-Year Raise..... 5-11

Table A-5.7 Recommended Flood Protection Raise Stability Berm Summary Nominal 500-Year + 3 Feet Raise 5-12

Table A-5.8 Recommended Flood Protection Raise Stability Berm Summary Nominal 500-Year + 5 Feet Raise 5-13

Table A-6.1 Permeability Ratios for Blanket Materials…… 6-4

Table A-8.1 Soil Profile Immediately Behind the Wall…… .. 8-3

Table A-8.2 Soil Profile Under Slope and Levee 8-3

Table A-8.3 Soil Parameters Selected for Analysis..... 8-4

Table A-8.4 River Scour Relationship..... 8-6

Table A-8.5 Random Variables for Fairfax-Jersey Creek 8-8

Table A-9.1 Permeability Ratios for Blanket Materials 9-3

Table A-9.2 Existing Conditions Risk and Uncertainty Results..... 9-6

Table A-10.1 Permeability Ratios for Blanket Materials…… .. 10-4

Table A-10.2 Design Alternatives Considered……..... 10-5

Table A-10.3 Existing Conditions Risk and Uncertainty Results…….. 10-6

Table A-12.1 Stability Criterion…… . 12-11

Table A-12.2 Floodwall Performance…….. 12-14

Table A-12.3 Stop Log Gap Performance…….. . 12-14

Table A-12.4 Gatewell & Outlet Summary 12-15

Table A-12.5 Probability of Failure Values..... 12-16

Table A-12.6 RCB Summary..... 12-17

Table A-13.1 Stability Criterion…….. 13-1

Table A-13.2 Nominal 500 yr Floodwall Performance 13-5

Table A-13.3 Stop Log Gap Performance 13-6

Table A-13.4 Factor of Safety for Modified Stop Log Gap 288+57 13-6

Table A-13.5 Gatewell & Outlet Summary 13-8

Table A-13.6 I-Wall Locations 13-9

Table A-13.7 RCB Summary..... 13-10

Table A-14.1 Pile Capacities 14-4

Table A-14.2 Piles Strength..... 14-4

Table A-14.3 Floodwall Strength 14-5

Table A-14.4 Stop Log Analysis 14-7

Table A-15.1 Summary of Pump Station Modifications 15-4

Table A-15.2 Turner Pump Station Capacities for Current Conditions..... 15-8

Table A-15.3 Turner Pump Station Capacities for Future Conditions 15-8

Table A-15.4 Flotation and Bearing Pressure Results..... 15-10

Table A-15.5 Argentine Flotation Analysis Results..... 15-14

Table A-15.6 Existing Conditions Structural Evaluation Results 15-17

Table A-15.7 Existing and Future Conditions Mechanical Evaluation Results 15-18

INDEX TO ENGINEERING APPENDIX EXHIBITS

Exhibit A-1.1 Argentine Unit – Levee/Floodwall Features Inventory…… 1-22

Exhibit A-1.2 Armourdale Unit – Levee/Floodwall Features Inventory…….. 1-38

Exhibit A-1.3 Birmingham Unit – Levee/Floodwall Features Inventory…….. 1-60

Exhibit A-1.4 CID (Kansas) Unit – Levee/Floodwall Features Inventory…… 1-76

Exhibit A-1.5 CID (Missouri) Unit – Levee/Floodwall Features Inventory…… . 1-95

Exhibit A-1.6 East Bottoms Unit – Levee/Floodwall Features Inventory……1-109

Exhibit A-1.7 Fairfax-Jersey Creek Unit – Levee/Floodwall Features Inventory……1-129

Exhibit A-1.8 North Kansas City (Airport) Unit – Levee/Floodwall Features Inventory… 1-148

Exhibit A-1.9 North Kansas City (Lower) Unit – Levee/Floodwall Features Inventory…… 1-160

Exhibit A-2.1 USGS Rating Curve #13 – Kansas City Gage…… 2-41

Exhibit A-2.2 Discharge – Frequency Curve – Missouri River just Downstream of Blue River……... .. 2-42

Exhibit A-2.3 Discharge – Frequency Curve – Missouri River just Downstream of Kansas River……... .. 2-43

Exhibit A-2.4 Discharge – Frequency Curve – Missouri River just Upstream of Kansas River……... 2-44

Exhibit A-2.5 Discharge – Frequency Curve – Kansas River at Mouth….. 2-45

Exhibit A-2.6 HEC–RAS Schematic of Reaches…… 2-46

Exhibit A-2.7 Missouri River 1993 Computed Water Surface vs. Observed Highwater Marks……..... 2-47

Exhibit A-2.8 Kansas River 1993 Computed Water Surface vs. Observed Highwater Marks……..... 2-48

Exhibit A-2.9 Missouri River Existing Conditions Higher Probability Water Surface Profiles……... 2-49

Exhibit A-2.10 Missouri River Existing Conditions Lower Probability Water Surface Profiles…….. 2-50

Exhibit A-2.11 Birmingham Levee Unit 0.2% (500-Year) Chance of Exceedance Water Surface Profile……..... 2-51

Exhibit A-2.12 East Bottoms Levee Unit 0.2% (500-Year) Chance of Exceedance Water Surface Profile……..... 2-52

Exhibit A-2.13 Central Industrial District (Missouri) 0.2% (500-Year) Chance of Exceedance Water Surface Profile……. 2-53

Exhibit A-2.14 North Kansas City Airport Levee Unit 0.2% (500-Year) Chance of Exceedance Water Surface Profile…… 2-54

Exhibit A-2.15 Fairfax-Jersey Creek Levee Unit 0.2% (500-Year) Chance of Exceedance Water Surface Profile……..... 2-55

Exhibit A-2.16 Kansas River Existing Conditions Higher Probability Water Surface Profiles…….. 2-56

Exhibit A-2.17 Kansas River Lower Probability Water Surface Profile…….. 2-57

Exhibit A-2.18 Central Industrial District (Kansas) Levee Unit 0.2% (500-Year) Chance of Exceedance Water Surface Profile… .. …2-58

Exhibit A-2.19 Armourdale Levee Unit 0.2% (500-Year) Chance of Exceedance Water Surface Profile……..... 2-59

Exhibit A-2.20 Argentine Levee Unit 0.2% (500-Year) Chance of Exceedance Water Surface Profile……..... 2-60

Exhibit A-2.21 Comparison of Rating Curve #13 and Observed Measurements (1990 – Present)……..... 2-61

Exhibit A-2.22 Comparison of Rating Curve #13 and Computed Water Surface Elevations…….. 2-62

Exhibit A-2.23 Birmingham Unit Levee Tieback Water Surface Profile…..... 2-63

Exhibit A-2.24 East Bottom Levee Unit Tieback Water Surface Profile…….. 2-64

Exhibit A-2.25 North Kansas City Levee Unit Levee Tieback Water Surface Profile…… 2-65

Exhibit A-2.26 Argentine Levee Unit Interior/Exterior Relationship Source Data…... 2-66

Exhibit A-2.27 Armourdale Levee Unit Interior/Exterior Relationship Source Data…..... 2-67

Exhibit A-2.28 Birmingham Levee Unit Interior/Exterior Relationship Source Data……..... 2-68

Exhibit A-2.29 Central Industrial District (Kansas) Levee Unit Interior/Exterior Relationship Source Data… 2-69

Exhibit A-2.30 Central Industrial District (Missouri) Levee Unit Interior/Exterior Relationship Source Data……..... 2-70

Exhibit A-2.31 East Bottoms Levee Unit Interior/Exterior Relationship Source Data…….. 2-71

Exhibit A-2.32 Fairfax-Jersey Creek Levee Unit Interior/Exterior Relationship Source Data……..... 2-72

Exhibit A-2.33 North Kansas City Levee Unit Interior/Exterior Relationship Source Data……..... 2-73

Exhibit A-2.34 Argentine Levee Unit – Combined Levee Failure and Overtopping Interior/Exterior Water Surface Relationship……..... 2-74

Exhibit A-2.35 Armourdale Levee Unit – Combined Levee Failure and Overtopping Interior/Exterior Water Surface Relationship……..... 2-75

Exhibit A-2.36 Birmingham Levee Unit – Combined Levee Failure and Overtopping Interior/Exterior Water Surface Relationship……..... 2-76

Exhibit A-2.37 CID (Kansas) Levee Unit – Combined Levee Failure and Overtopping Interior/Exterior Water Surface Elevation Relationship…2-77

Exhibit A-2.38 CID (Missouri) Levee Unit – Combined Levee Failure and Overtopping Interior/Exterior Water Surface Elevation Relationship…2-78

Exhibit A-2.39 East Bottoms Levee Unit – Combined Levee Failure and Overtopping Interior/Exterior Water Surface Elevation Relationship…2-79

Exhibit A-2.40 Fairfax-Jersey Creek Levee Unit – Combined Levee Failure and Overtopping Interior/Exterior Water Surface Elevation Relationship…2-80

Exhibit A-2.41 North Kansas City Levee Unit – Combined Levee Failure and Overtopping Interior/Exterior Water Surface Elevation Relationship…2-81

Exhibit A-2.42 Schematic of Argentine Levee Unit Interior Hydrology…….. 2-82

Exhibit A-2.43 Schematic of Armourdale Levee Unit Interior Hydrology…… ... 2-83

Exhibit A-2.44 Schematic of Birmingham Levee Unit Interior Hydrology…….. 2-84

Exhibit A-2.45 Schematic of Central Industrial District Levee Unit
Interior Hydrology….. 2-85

Exhibit A-2.46 Schematic of East Bottoms Levee Unit Interior Hydrology……... 2-86

Exhibit A-2.47 Schematic of Fairfax-Jersey Creek Levee Unit Interior Hydrology..... 2-87

Exhibit A-2.48 Schematic of North Kansas City Levee Unit Interior Hydrology…..... 2-88

Exhibit A-2.49 Argentine Levee Unit Interior Stormwater Flood
Volumes – Turner Station 60+40……..... 2-89

Exhibit A-2.50 Argentine Levee Unit Interior Stormwater Flood
Volumes – Con Agra Pump Plant 145+00……..... 2-90

Exhibit A-2.51 Argentine Levee Unit Interior Stormwater Flood
Volumes – Santa Fe Drainage Ditch 253+14……. 2-91

Exhibit A-2.52 Armourdale Levee Unit Interior Stormwater Flood
Volumes – Osage Avenue Pumping Plant 82+30……..... 2-92

Exhibit A-2.53 Armourdale Levee Unit Interior Stormwater Flood
Volumes – 12th Street Sewer System 129+30……..... 2-93

Exhibit A-2.54 Armourdale Levee Unit Interior Stormwater Flood
Volumes – Mill Street Pumping Plant 156+75…….. 2-94

Exhibit A-2.55 Armourdale Levee Unit Interior Stormwater Flood
Volumes – Shawnee Avenue Pumping Plant 230+77 2-95

Exhibit A-2.56 Armourdale Levee Unit Interior Stormwater Flood
Volumes – Splitlog Outfall 311+10…….. 2-96

Exhibit A-2.57 Central Industrial District (Kansas) Levee Unit Interior Stormwater
Flood Volumes – Ohio Avenue Pump Plant -5+85……. 2-97

Exhibit A-2.58 East Bottoms Levee Unit Interior Stormwater Flood
Volumes – Prospect Avenue Pump Station 93+36……..... 2-98

Exhibit A-2.59 East Bottoms Levee Unit Interior Stormwater Flood
Volumes – Milwaukee Pump Station 172+00…….. 2-99

Exhibit A-2.60 East Bottoms Levee Unit Interior Stormwater Flood Volumes – Hawthorn Pump Station 401+00…… .. 2-100

Exhibit A-2.61 Birmingham Levee Unit Interior Stormwater Flood Volumes – Birmingham Pump Plant 434+21…….. 2-101

Exhibit A-2.62 Birmingham Levee Unit Interior Stormwater Flood Volumes – Southeastern Drainage 288+70……. 2-102

Exhibit A-2.63 Central Industrial District (Missouri) Interior Stormwater Flood Volumes – Santa Fe Pump Plant 52+87…… 2-103

Exhibit A-2.64 Fairfax-Jersey Creek Levee Unit Interior Stormwater Flood Volumes – Pump Plant F-1 267+70……..... 2-104

Exhibit A-2.65 North Kansas City Levee Unit Interior Stormwater Flood Volumes – Rock Creek Pump Plant 388+96……..... 2-105

Exhibit A-2.66 Inundation Map for Turner Station……. 2-106

Exhibit A-2.67 Inundation Map for Con Agra Pump Plant…….. 2-107

Exhibit A-2.68 Inundation Map for Santa Fe Drainage Ditch……..... 2-108

Exhibit A-2.69 Inundation Map for Mill Street Pump Plant…… 2-109

Exhibit A-2.70 Inundation Map for Shawnee Avenue Pump Plant..... 2-110

Exhibit A-2.71 Inundation Map for Splitlog Outfall……. 2-111

Exhibit A-2.72 Inundation Map for Ohio Avenue Pump Plant……. 2-112

Exhibit A-2.73 Inundation Map for Milwaukee Pump Plant……..... 2-113

Exhibit A-2.74 Inundation Map for Hawthorn Pump Plant……..... 2-114

Exhibit A-2.75 Inundation Map for Prospect Avenue Pump Plant…….. 2-115

Exhibit A-2.76 Inundation Map for Birmingham Pump Plant…….. 2-116

Exhibit A-2.77 Inundation Map for Rock Creek Pump Plant……..... 2-117

Exhibit A-2.78 Bridge Removals……..... 2-118

Exhibit A-2.79 Missouri River Stage Trends at Kansas City, Missouri for High Frequency Flows……..... 2-119

Exhibit A-2.80 Missouri River Stage Trends at Kansas City, Missouri for Low Frequency Flows……..... 2-120

Exhibit A-2.81 Missouri River Near the Hannibal Bridge 1951, Kansas City, Missouri and Kansas……..... 2-121

Exhibit A-2.82 Missouri River Near the Hannibal Bridge 1998, Kansas City, Missouri and Kansas……..... 2-122

Exhibit A-2.83 Missouri River Near the Hannibal Bridge 1954, Kansas City, Missouri and Kansas……..... 2-123

Exhibit A-2.84 Kansas River 1955, Kansas City, Missouri and Kansas…… 2-124

Exhibit A-2.85 Kansas River 1955, Kansas City, Missouri and Kansas (2)…… 2-125

Exhibit A-2.86 Kansas River 1998, Kansas City, Missouri and Kansas…… 2-126

Exhibit A-2.87 Missouri River Future Conditions Without Project Higher Probability Water Surface Profiles…….. 2-127

Exhibit A-2.88 Missouri River Future Conditions Without Project Lower Probability Water Surface Profiles…….. 2-128

Exhibit A-2.89 Kansas River Future Conditions Without Project Higher Probability Water Surface Profiles…….. 2-129

Exhibit A-2.90 Kansas River Future Conditions Without Project Lower Probability Water Surface Profiles……. 2-130

Exhibit A-2.91 Birmingham Levee Unit Future Conditions Without Project 0.2% (500-Year) Chance of Exceedance Water Surface Profile…… ... 2-131

Exhibit A-2.92 East Bottoms Levee Unit Future Conditions Without Project 0.2% (500-Year) Chance of Exceedance Water Surface Profile… 2-132

Exhibit A-2.93 Central Industrial District (Missouri) Future Conditions Without Project 0.2% (500-Year) Chance of Exceedance Water Surface Profile…..... 2-133

Exhibit A-2.94 North Kansas City Airport Levee Unit Future Conditions Without Project 0.2% (500-Year) Chance of Exceedance Water Surface Profile…..... 2-134

Exhibit A-2.95 Fairfax-Jersey Creek Levee Unit Future Conditions Without Project 0.2% (500-Year) Chance of Exceedance Water Surface Profile… 2-135

Exhibit A-2.96 CID (Kansas) Unit Future Conditions Without Project 0.2% (500-Year) Chance of Exceedance Water Surface Profile…… 2-136

Exhibit A-2.97 Armourdale Unit Future Conditions Without Project 0.2% (500-Year) Chance of Exceedance Water Surface Profile…… 2-137

Exhibit A-2.98 Argentine Unit Future Conditions Without Project 0.2% (500-Year) Chance of Exceedance Water Surface Profile…… 2-138

Exhibit A-2.99 Hawthorne Pumping Plant Tributary Area From 2000 DRG Bayer Site Drainage Study……. 2-139

Exhibit A-2.100 Hawthorne Pumping Plant Tributary Area From 1948 East Bottoms Unit Supplement on Interior Drainage…….. 2-140

Exhibit A-2.101 Kansas River Future Conditions With Tree Removal 0.2% (500-Year) Chance of Exceedance Water Surface Profiles……. 2-141

Exhibit A-2.102 Kansas River Future Conditions With Tree Removal and Channel Modification 0.2% (500-Year) Chance of Exceedance Water Surface Profiles……. 2-142

Exhibit A-2.103 Summary of Bridges and Sources of Bridge Drawings…….. 2-143

Exhibit A-2.104 Summary of Surveyed Top-of-Levee Elevations…….. 2-144

Exhibit A-2.105 Missouri River Existing Conditions Water Surface Elevations…... 2-148

Exhibit A-2.106 Kansas River Existing Conditions Water Surface Elevations…… 2-168

Exhibit A-2.107 Drainage Control Structure Preliminary Screening…… 2-180

Exhibit A-2.108 Sources of Data for Drainage Control Structure Interior Stormwater Hydraulic Modeling…….. 2-181

Exhibit A-2.109 Drainage Control Structure Interior Area Stormwater Flood Volumes……. 2-182

Exhibit A-2.110 Critical Drainage Control Structure Screening…….. 2-183

Exhibit A-2.111 Ranking of Bridges Based on Kansas River Water Surface Elevation Losses... 2-184

Exhibit A-2.112 Missouri River Future Conditions Without Project Water Surface Elevations……. 2-185

Exhibit A-2.113 Kansas River Future Conditions Without Project Water Surface Elevations……..... 2-205

Exhibit A-2.114 Kansas River Future Conditions With Tree Removal Alternative Water Surface Elevations……..... 2-217

Exhibit A-2.115 Kansas River Future Conditions With Tree Removal and Channel Modifications Water Surface Elevations…….. 2-229

Exhibit A-2.116 Relationship Between Peak Flood Flows From The Kansas and Missouri Rivers……..... 2-241

Exhibit A-2.117 Induced Damages Memorandum For Record..... 2-260

Exhibit A-3.1 Kansas City, Missouri and Kansas, Flood Damage Reduction Report Feasibility Study Currency of Aquired Data…….. 3-6

Exhibit A-4.1 Typical shape of the normal probability distribution function showing the expected value or mean, E[X]…… 4-29

Exhibit A-4.2 Typical shape of the log-normal distribution function showing the expected value, E[X]……..... 4-30

Exhibit A-4.3 Hypothetical normal probability distribution showing the probabilistic parameters……. 4-31

Exhibit A-4.4 Normal probability distribution for the natural log of the factor of safety, assuming that the factor of safety is log-normally distributed…… 4-32

Exhibit A-4.5 Normal probability distribution for the natural log of the hydraulic gradient, assuming that the hydraulic gradient is log-normally distributed where the failure gradient is defining the limit state……. 4-33

Exhibit A-4.6 The probability distribution curve illustrating the assumptions used in developing the Taylor Series Approximation……..... 4-34

Exhibit A-4.7 Typical Bi-linear Strength Envelope…….. 4-38

Exhibit A-4.8 Probability of Failure Due to Underseepage, Slope Stability and the Combined Probability for the Argentine Unit……... 4-40

Exhibit A-4.9 Probability of Failure Due to Underseepage, Slope Stability and the Combined Probability for the Armourdale Unit…… 4-41

Exhibit A-4.10 Probability of Failure Due to Underseepage, Slope Stability and the Combined Probability for the Birmingham Unit…….. 4-42

Exhibit A-4.11 Probability of Failure Due to Underseepage, Slope Stability and the Combined Probability for the CID-KS Unit…….. 4-44

Exhibit A-4.12 Probability of Failure Due to Underseepage, Slope Stability and the Combined Probability for the CID-MO Unit……..... 4-45

Exhibit A-4.13 Probability of Failure Due to Underseepage, Slope Stability and the Combined Probability for the East Bottoms Unit….. 4-46

Exhibit A-4.14 Probability of Failure Due to Underseepage, Slope Stability and the Combined Probability for the Fairfax-Jersey Creek Unit… 4-47

Exhibit A-4.15 Probability of Failure Due to Underseepage, Slope Stability and the Combined Probability for the NKC Lower Unit……..... 4-48

Exhibit A-5.1 Argentine Unit 500-Yr Nominal Water Surface with Existing TOL …. 5-20

Exhibit A-5.2 Underseepage Analysis Nominal 500-Year…… 5-22

Exhibit A-5.3 Berm Design Information Nominal 500-Year ……5-24

Exhibit A-5.4 Argentine Unit 500-Yr With Survey Data Added…… .. 5-26

Exhibit A-5.5 500-Yr Station 54+00 - Stability Analysis without Berm…….. 5-37

Exhibit A-5.6 500-yr Station 61+00 -Stability Analysis with Berms……..... 5-38

Exhibit A-5.7 500-yr Station 118+00 - Stability Analysis without Berms……..... 5-39

Exhibit A-5.8 500-yr Station 118+00 - Stability Analysis with Berms……..... 5-40

Exhibit A-5.9 500-yr Station 118+00 - Stability Analysis with Berms……..... 5-41

Exhibit A-5.10 500-yr Station 257+00 - Stability Analysis with Berms……... 5-42

Exhibit A-5.11 500-yr Station 265+00 - Stability Analysis without Berms No Rock Face……..... 5-43

Exhibit A-5.12 500-yr Station 265+00 - Stability Analysis without Berms Rock Face…….. ... 5-44

Exhibit A-5.13 500-yr Station 265+00 - Stability Analysis without Berms……..... 5-45

Exhibit A-5.14 500-yr Station 265+00 - Stability Analysis Hydraulic Grade Line... ... 5-46

Exhibit A-5.15 Assumed 500 yr Flood Elevation Station 0+00 to 39+00……..... 5-48

Exhibit A-5.16 Argentine Levee Raise N500+3 ……..... 5-49

Exhibit A-5.17 Argentine Levee Raise N500+3 (2)…… 5-50

Exhibit A-5.18 Argentine Levee Raise N500+3 (3)…… 5-51

Exhibit A-5.19 Underseepage Analysis Nominal 500-Year + 3 Feet…… 5-53

Exhibit A-5.20 Berm Design Information Nominal 500-Year + 3 Feet……..... 5-55

Exhibit A-5.21 Argentine Unit 500-yr With Survey Data Added……..... 5-57

Exhibit A-5.22 500-yr + 3 ft. Station 54+00 - Stability Analysis with Berms…….. 5-65

Exhibit A-5.23 500 yr + 3 ft. Station 61+00 - Stability Analysis with Berms…….. 5-66

Exhibit A-5.24 500-yr + 3 ft. Station 118+00 - Stability Analysis with Berms…… 5-67

Exhibit A-5.25 500-yr + 3 ft. Station 264+00 – Existing Condition
Stability Analysis 5-68

Exhibit A-5.26 500-yr + 3 ft. Station 264+00 – Proposed Condition
Stability Analysis... 5-92

Exhibit A-5.27 Assumed 500-yr Flood Elevation Station 0+00 to 39+00…… 5-119

Exhibit A-5.28 Argentine Feasibility Cross Section N500+5……... .. 5-120

Exhibit A-5.29 Argentine Feasibility Cross Section N500 + 5 (2)……. 5-121

Exhibit A-5.30 Argentine Feasibility Cross Section N500 + 5 (3)……. 5-122

Exhibit A-5.31 Argentine Feasibility Cross Section N500 + 5 (4)……. 5-123

Exhibit A-5.32 Underseepage Analysis Nominal 500-Year + 5 Feet……..... 5-125

Exhibit A-5.33 Berm Design Information Nominal 500-Year + 5 Feet……..... 5-127

Exhibit A-5.34 Argentine Unit 500-yr With Survey Data Added……..... 5-129

Exhibit A-5.35 Underseepage Analysis Station 265+00 …….. 5-136

Exhibit A-5.36 Well Design Calculations…… 5-137

Exhibit A-5.37 Well Design Calculations (2)……..... 5-138

Exhibit A-5.38 Well Design Calculations (3)……..... 5-139

Exhibit A-5.39 Well Design Calculations (4)……..... 5-140

Exhibit A-5.40 Hydraulic Grade Line for Station 265+00 Argentine Kansas……. 5-141

Exhibit A-5.41 Hydraulic Grade Line for Station 265+00 Slope Stability
At Base of Blanket Nominal 500-Yr + 5 Feet Argentine Unit……. .. 5-142

Exhibit A-5.42 500-yr + 5 ft. Station 54+00 - Stability Analysis with Berms
(Typical Sta. 2+00 to 60+00)…….. 5-144

Exhibit A-5.43 500-yr + 5 ft. Station 61+00 - Stability Analysis with Berms
(Typical Sta. 61+00 to 117+00)…….. 5-145

Exhibit A-5.44 500-yr + 5 ft. Station 118+00 - Stability Analysis without Berms
(Typical Sta. 118+00 to 182+20)... 5-146

Exhibit A-5.45 500-yr + 5 ft. Station 183+00 - Stability Analysis with Berms
(Typical Sta. 182+20 to 253+00)…… 5-147

Exhibit A-5.46 500-yr + 5 ft. Station 265+00 - Stability Analysis without Berms
(Typical Sta. 258+00 to 276+70)... 5-148

Exhibit A-5.47 500-yr + 5 ft. Station 265+00 - Stability Analysis without Berms
with I Walls(Typical Sta. 258+00 to 276+70)…….. .. 5-149

Exhibit A-5.48 500-yr + 5 ft. Station 257+00 - Stability Analysis with Berms
(Typical Sta. 254+00 to 264+00)…… 5-150

Exhibit A-5.49 500-yr + 5 ft. Station 265+00 - Stability Analysis with Berms
(Typical Sta. 265+00 to 276+70)…… 5-151

Exhibit A-5.50 500-yr + 5 ft. Station 265+00 - Stability Analysis with Berms
(Typical Sta. 265+00 to 276+70)….. 5-152

Exhibit A-5.51 Hydraulic Grade Line for the Station 265+00 Slope Stability
At Base of Blanket Nominal 500 yr + 5 Feet Argentine Unit…… 5-153

Exhibit A-5.52 Hydraulic Grade Line for the Station 265+00 Wall
Argentine Unit…… 5-154

Exhibit A-5.53 Hydraulic Grade Line for the Station 265+00 Wall Argentine Unit (2)…..... 5-155

Exhibit A-5.54 1962 – Mod Design Data – Silts……... .. 5-157

Exhibit A-5.55 Kansas City, Kansas – 1962 MOD Argentine Unit…….. .. 5-158

Exhibit A-5.56 Kansas City, Kansas – 1962 MOD Argentine Unit (2)…….. 5-159

Exhibit A-5.57 1962 – Mod Design Data – Clays…….. 5-160

Exhibit A-5.58 Kansas City, Kansas – 1962 MOD Argentine Unit (3)…….. 5-161

Exhibit A-5.59 Kansas City, Kansas – 1962 MOD Argentine Unit (4)…….. 5-162

Exhibit A-5.60 Kansas City, Kansas – 1962 MOD Argentine Unit (5)…….. 5-163

Exhibit A-5.61 Kansas City, Kansas – 1962 MOD Argentine Unit (6)…….. 5-164

Exhibit A-5.62 1962 – Mod Design Data – Levee Impervious…….. 5-165

Exhibit A-5.63 Kansas City, Kansas – 1962 Mod Argentine Unit…….. 5-166

Exhibit A-5.64 Kansas City, Kansas – 1962 Mod Argentine Unit (2)…… 5-167

Exhibit A-5.65 Kansas City, Kansas – 1962 Mod Argentine Unit (3)…… 5-168

Exhibit A-5.66 Kansas City, Kansas – 1962 Mod Argentine Unit (4)…… 5-169

Exhibit A-5.67 L-385 Information……... 5-170

Exhibit A-6.1 Plan View of East Bottoms…….. ... 6-10

Exhibit A-6.2 Typical Cross Section Station 0+00 to Station 57+26.02…….. 6-11

Exhibit A-6.3 Typical Cross Section Station 57+14 to Station 74+56…… 6-12

Exhibit A-6.4 Typical Cross Section Station 74+44.17 to Station 85+00……..... 6-13

Exhibit A-6.5 Typical Cross Section Station 85+00 to Station 140+00…….6-14

Exhibit A-6.6 Typical Cross Section Station 140+00 to Station 174+27.5..... 6-15

Exhibit A-6.7 Typical Cross Section Station 174+27.5 to Station 285+00…….. 6-16

Exhibit A-6.8 Typical Cross Section Station 285+00 to Station 375+00…….. 6-17

Exhibit A-6.9 Typical Cross Section Station 375+00 to Station 404+00 and Station 433+00 to Station 454+00……. 6-18

Exhibit A-6.10 Typical Cross Section Station 404+00 to Station 406+00 And Typical Transition Cross Section Station 406+00 to Station 410+00……. 6-19

Exhibit A-6.11 Typical Cross Section Station 410+00 to Station 416+00 ……6-20

Exhibit A-6.12 Typical Cross Section Station 416+00 to Station 433+00…… 6-21

Exhibit A-6.13 Typical Cross Section Station 454+70 to Station 478+00…… 6-22

Exhibit A-6.14 Typical Cross Section Station 488+00 to Station 477+83…… 6-23

Exhibit A-6.15 Details of Drainage System Record Drawing…….. 6-24

Exhibit A-6.16 Aerial View of Buried Collector System…….. 6-26

Exhibit A-6.17 Aerial View of Missouri and Blue Rivers Confluence Area…… 6-27

Exhibit A-6.18 Floodwall Record Drawing……..... 6-28

Exhibit A-6.19 Plan and Profile Operational Drawing……..... 6-29

Exhibit A-6.20 Plan and Profile Operational Drawing (2) 6-30

Exhibit A-6.21 Plan and Profile Operational Drawing (3) 6-31

Exhibit A-6.22 Plan and Profile Operational Drawing (4) 6-32

Exhibit A-6.23 Boring Profiles from Record Drawing..... 6-33

Exhibit A-6.24 Boring Profiles from Record Drawing (2) 6-34

Exhibit A-6.25 Underseepage Analysis Calculations 6-36

Exhibit A-6.26 Underseepage Analysis Calculations (2) 6-37

Exhibit A-6.27 Berm Design Information 6-38

Exhibit A-6.28 Berm Design Information (2)..... 6-39

Exhibit A-6.29 Proposed Relief Wells at Missouri and Blue Rivers Confluence Area 6-41

Exhibit A-6.30 Well Design Calculations 6-42

Exhibit A-6.31 Well Design Calculations (2)..... 6-43

Exhibit A-6.32 Well Design Calculations (3)..... 6-44

Exhibit A-6.33 Well Design Calculations (4)..... 6-45

Exhibit A-6.34 Well Design Calculations (5)..... 6-46

Exhibit A-7.1 Plan View of Fairfax-Jersey Creek Unit..... 7-6

Exhibit A-7.2 Plan and Section As-Built of BPU Floodwall..... 7-7

Exhibit A-7.3 Bank Protection at BPU Area As-Built 7-8

Exhibit A-7.4 Concrete Details at BPU Floodwall As-Built 7-9

Exhibit A-7.5 Levee Section and Floodwall Details Record Drawing..... 7-10

Exhibit A-7.6 Typical Levee Cross-Sections Record Drawing 7-11

Exhibit A-7.7 Typical Levee Cross-Sections Record Drawing (2) 7-12

Exhibit A-7.8 Typical Levee Cross-Sections Record Drawing (3) 7-13

Exhibit A-7.9 Fairfax Levee Cross-Sections As-Built 7-14

Exhibit A-7.10 Fairfax Levee Cross-Sections As-Built (2)..... 7-15

Exhibit A-7.11 Fairfax Levee Cross-Sections As-Built (3)..... 7-16

Exhibit A-7.12 Plan and Profile Operational Drawing 7-18

Exhibit A-7.13 Plan and Profile Operational Drawing (2) 7-19

Exhibit A-7.14 Floodwall Pile Investigation Report 7-20

Exhibit A-7.15 Fairfax-Jersey Creek Flood Wall Pile Foundation Documentation..... 7-38

Exhibit A-7.16 Fairfax-Jersey Creek Flood Wall Flownet..... 7-49

Exhibit A-7.17 Guidance for Ultimate Capacity of Driven Piles 7-51

Exhibit A-7.18 Fairfax Field Blow Counts, Uncorrected..... 7-52

Exhibit A-7.19 Relationship Between Angle of Internal Friction and Blow Count..... 7-53

Exhibit A-7.20 Relationship Between Overburden Pressure and Blow Count 7-54

Exhibit A-7.21 Blow Count and Shear Strength Calculations..... 7-55

Exhibit A-7.22 Blow Count and Shear Strength Calculations (2)..... 7-58

Exhibit A-7.23 Blow Count and Shear Strength Calculations (3)..... 7-61

Exhibit A-7.24 Summary of Ultimate Foundation Resistance 7-64

Exhibit A-8.1 River Scour Relationship 8-5

Exhibit A-8.2 Probability of Wall Failure, P_{rf1} Versus River Bed Scour 8-7

Exhibit A-8.3 Probability of Foreshore Failure, P_{rf2} Versus River Elevation 8-9

Exhibit A-8.4 Probability of Levee with I-Wall Failure P_{rf3} Versus River Elevation 8-10

Exhibit A-8.5 Probability of Failure for Levee Section 27+50 P_{rft} Versus River Elevation 8-11

Exhibit A-8.6 Plan and Profile of Sheet Pile Study Reach 8-15

Exhibit A-8.7 Plan and Profile of Jersey Creek Sewer..... 8-16

Exhibit A-8.8 Fairfax Levee Cross Section at Station 25+14..... 8-17

Exhibit A-8.9 General Plan of Sheet Pile Walls 8-18

Exhibit A-8.10 Elevations of Sheet Pile Walls 8-19

Exhibit A-8.11 Typical Details of Sheet Pile Walls 8-20

Exhibit A-8.12 Modification to Existing Protection Plan and Profile 8-21

Exhibit A-8.13 Modification to Existing Protection Plan Station 15+75 to Station 23+10 8-22

Exhibit A-8.14 Modification to Existing Protection Plan Station 23+10 to Station 29+77 8-23

Exhibit A-8.15 Modification to Existing Protection Typical Levee Sections and Wall Details..... 8-24

Exhibit A-8.16 Survey of Station 27+50 Area 8-25

Exhibit A-8.17 Cross-Section of Modeled Area..... 8-26

Exhibit A-8.18 Schematic of Failure Model..... 8-27

Exhibit A-8.19 Bed Scour Relationship Versus River Stage..... 8-28

Exhibit A-8.20 Probability of Excessive Moment for Simple Cantilever Wall vs. Bed Scour 8-29

Exhibit A-8.21 Summary of Probability of Flood Protection Failure Station 25+00 for River Elevation 750 Feet 8-30

Exhibit A-8.22 Simple Cantilever Wall Model for River Elevation of 750 Feet 8-31

Exhibit A-8.23 Summary of Probability of Foreshore Global Stability Failure Using River Elevation 750 Feet..... 8-36

Exhibit A-8.24 Mean Value Analysis of Global Stability of Station 25+00 Foreshore With River Elevation 750 Feet 8-37

Exhibit A-8.25 Mean Value Analysis of Global Stability Foreshore UTEXAS4 Output File 8-38

Exhibit A-8.26 Summary of Probability of Levee/I-Wall Global Stability Failure Using River Elevation 750 Feet..... 8-54

Exhibit A-8.27 Mean Value of Global Stability of Station 25+00 Levee/I-Wall With River Elevation 750 Feet 8-55

Exhibit A-8.28 Mean Value Analysis of Global Stability Levee/I-Wall UTEXAS4 Output File 8-56

Exhibit A-8.29 Summary of Probability of Flood Protection Failure for Station 25+00 for River Elevation 755 Feet 8-72

Exhibit A-8.30 Simple Cantilever Wall Model for River Elevation 755 Feet..... 8-73

Exhibit A-8.31 Summary of Probability of Foreshore Global Stability Failure Using River Elevation 755 Feet..... 8-78

Exhibit A-8.32 Mean Value Analysis of Global Stability of Station 25+00 Foreshore With River Elevation 755 Feet 8-79

Exhibit A-8.33 Mean Value Analysis of Global Stability Foreshore UTEXAS4 Output File 8-80

Exhibit A-8.34 Summary of Probability of Levee/I-Wall Global Stability Failure Using River Elevation 755 Feet 8-96

Exhibit A-8.35 Mean Value Analysis of Global Stability of Station 25+00 Levee/I-Wall With River Elevation 755 Feet 8-97

Exhibit A-8.36 Mean Value Analysis of Global Stability Levee/I-Wall UTEXAS4 Output File 8-98

Exhibit A-8.37 Summary of Probability of Flood Protection Failure for Station 25+00 for River Elevation 760.5 Feet 8-114

Exhibit A-8.38 Simple Cantilever Wall Model for River Elevation 760.5 Feet..... 8-115

Exhibit A-8.39 Summary of Probability of Foreshore Global Stability Failure Using river Elevation 760.5 Feet 8-120

Exhibit A-8.40 Summary of Probability of Levee/I-Wall Global Station Failure Using River Elevation 760.5 Feet..... 8-121

Exhibit A-8.41 Mean Value Analysis of Global Stability of Station 25+00 Levee/I-Wall With River Elevation 760.5 Feet 8-122

Exhibit A-8.42 Mean Value Analysis of Global Stability Levee/I-Wall UTEXAS4 Output File 8-123

Exhibit A-8.43 Hydraulic Data Used in the Prediction of Bed Scour 8-139

Exhibit A-8.44 Missouri River Future Conditions Without Project Water Surface Elevations..... 8-142

Exhibit A-9.1 Plan View of North Kansas City Unit..... 9-10

Exhibit A-9.2 Typical Levee Cross-Sections..... 9-11

Exhibit A-9.3 Typical Levee Cross-Sections (2)..... 9-12

Exhibit A-9.4 Typical Levee Cross-Sections (3)..... 9-13

Exhibit A-9.5 Typical Levee Cross-Sections (4)..... 9-14

Exhibit A-9.6 Typical Levee Cross-Sections (5)..... 9-15

Exhibit A-9.7 Typical Levee Cross-Sections (6) 9-16

Exhibit A-9.8 Cross-Section of Levee Looking NNW 9-18

Exhibit A-9.9 Cross-Section of Collector Drain for Harlem Section 9-19

Exhibit A-9.10 Cross-Section of Levee Looking ENE 9-20

Exhibit A-9.11 Plan and Profile Record Drawing 9-21

Exhibit A-9.12 Plan and Profile Record Drawing (2) 9-22

Exhibit A-9.13 Soil Depth Chart 9-23

Exhibit A-9.14 Plan and Profile Record Drawing (3) 9-24

Exhibit A-9.15 Plan and Profile Record Drawing (4) 9-25

Exhibit A-9.16 Soil Depth Chart (2) 9-26

Exhibit A-9.17 Soil Depth Chart (3) 9-27

Exhibit A-9.18 Plan and Profile Record Drawing (5) 9-28

Exhibit A-9.19 Plan and Profile Record Drawing (6) 9-29

Exhibit A-9.20 Plan and Profile Record Drawing (7) 9-30

Exhibit A-9.21 Soil Depth Chart (4) 9-31

Exhibit A-9.22 Plan and Profile Record Drawing (8) 9-32

Exhibit A-9.23 Plan and Profile Record Drawing (9) 9-33

Exhibit A-9.24 Plan and Profile Record Drawing (10) 9-34

Exhibit A-9.25 Plan and Profile Record Drawing (11) 9-35

Exhibit A-9.26 Underground Explorations Record Drawing 9-36

Exhibit A-9.27 Plan and Profile Record Drawing (12) 9-37

Exhibit A-9.28 Plan and Profile Record Drawing (13) 9-38

Exhibit A-9.29 Underground Explorations Record Drawing (2) 9-39

Exhibit A-9.30 Underground Explorations Record Drawing (3)..... 9-40

Exhibit A-9.31 Plan and Profile Record Drawing (14)..... 9-41

Exhibit A-9.32 Underground Explorations Record Drawing (4)..... 9-42

Exhibit A-9.33 Rock Creek Pump Plant Underground Explorations 9-43

Exhibit A-9.34 Plan and Profile Operational Drawing..... 9-44

Exhibit A-9.35 Borrow Pits Record Drawing..... 9-45

Exhibit A-9.36 1993 Water Surface Elevation E-Mail..... 9-47

Exhibit A-9.37 Underseepage Berm Design Explanation 9-48

Exhibit A-9.38 Demolition Plan for the Addition of Berms..... 9-49

Exhibit A-9.39 Underseepage Analysis Calculations..... 9-50

Exhibit A-9.40 Berm Design Information 9-51

Exhibit A-9.41 Revised Underseepage Analysis Calculations..... 9-52

Exhibit A-9.42 Revised Underseepage Analysis Calculations (2) 9-53

Exhibit A-9.43 Excess Head vs. Distance From Toe of Levee Graph 9-54

Exhibit A-9.44 Station 235+00 Existing Conditions..... 9-55

Exhibit A-9.45 Probability of Failure Underseepage Calculations 9-56

Exhibit A-9.46 Probability of Failure Underseepage Calculations (2)..... 9-57

Exhibit A-9.47 Probability of Failure Underseepage Calculations (3)..... 9-58

Exhibit A-9.48 Probability of Failure Underseepage Calculations (4)..... 9-59

Exhibit A-9.49 Probability of Failure Underseepage Calculations (5)..... 9-60

Exhibit A-9.50 Probability of Failure Underseepage Calculations (6)..... 9-61

Exhibit A-9.51 Probability of Failure Underseepage Calculations (7)..... 9-62

Exhibit A-9.52 Missouri River Existing Conditions Water Surface Elevations..... 9-63

Exhibit A-9.53 Storm Drainage Master Plan 9-64

Exhibit A-10.1 Plan and Profile Operational Drawing for National Starch 10-11

Exhibit A-10.2 Profile of Soils 10-12

Exhibit A-10.3 Typical Levee Cross-Sections Record Drawing for National Starch Station 242+80 to Station 257+00 10-13

Exhibit A-10.4 Typical Levee Cross-Sections Record Drawing for National Starch Station 257+00 to Station 271+00 10-14

Exhibit A-10.5 Station 257+00 to Station 271+00 Existing Conditions Cross-Section 10-15

Exhibit A-10.6 Underseepage Calculations for National Starch Berm Design 10-16

Exhibit A-10.7 Underseepage Calculations for National Starch Well Design 10-19

Exhibit A-10.8 Probability of Failure Calculations for National Starch 10-22

Exhibit A-10.9 Probability of Failure Calculations for National Starch (2) 10-23

Exhibit A-10.10 Probability of Failure Calculations for National Starch (3) 10-24

Exhibit A-10.11 Probability of Failure Calculations for National Starch (4) 10-25

Exhibit A-10.12 Probability of Failure Calculations for National Starch (5) 10-26

Exhibit A-10.13 Probability of Failure Calculations for National Starch (6) 10-27

Exhibit A-10.14 Well Design Calculations for National Starch 10-28

Exhibit A-10.15 Well Design Calculations for National Starch (2) 10-29

Exhibit A-10.16 Missouri River Existing Conditions Water Surface Elevations 10-30

Exhibit A-11.1 Argentine Unit Bridge Matrix 11-17

Exhibit A-11.2 Borrow Area Designation for Proposed Argentine Unit Raise 11-18

Exhibit A-11.3 Kansas City's Levee Utility Criteria 11-38

Exhibit A-11.4 500 Year Flood Event 11-41

Exhibit A-11.5 500 Year Flood Event (2) 11-42

Exhibit A-11.6 500 Year Flood Event + 3 ft 11-43

Exhibit A-11.7 500 Year Flood Event + 3 ft (2)..... 11-44

Exhibit A-11.8 500 Year Flood Event + 5 ft 11-45

Exhibit A-11.9 Argentine Uplift N500+0..... 11-46

Exhibit A-11.10 Argentine Uplift N500+3..... 11-47

Exhibit A-11.11 Argentine Uplift N500+5..... 11-48

Exhibit A-11.12 Argentine Unit Utility Crossing Relocations..... 11-49

Exhibit A-11.13 Argentine Unit Utility Crossing Detail..... 11-50

Exhibit A-11.14 Argentine Tower Photo..... 11-51

Exhibit A-11.15 Argentine Utility Uplift..... 11-52

Exhibit A-11.16 Argentine Utility Uplift (2)..... 11-53

Exhibit A-11.17 Argentine Utility Uplift (3)..... 11-54

Exhibit A-11.18 Argentine Utility Uplift (4)..... 11-55

Exhibit A-11.19 Argentine Utility Uplift (5)..... 11-56

Exhibit A-11.20 Argentine Utility Uplift (6)..... 11-57

Exhibit A-11.21 Argentine Utility Uplift (7)..... 11-58

Exhibit A-11.22 Argentine Utility Uplift (8)..... 11-59

Exhibit A-11.23 Argentine Utility Uplift (9)..... 11-60

Exhibit A-11.24 Argentine Utility Uplift (10)..... 11-61

Exhibit A-11.25 Argentine Utility Uplift (11)..... 11-62

Exhibit A-11.26 Argentine Utility Uplift (12)..... 11-63

Exhibit A-11.27 Argentine Utility Uplift (13)..... 11-64

Exhibit A-11.28 Argentine Utility Uplift (14)..... 11-65

Exhibit A-11.29 Argentine Utility Uplift (15) 11-66

Exhibit A-11.30 Argentine Utility Uplift (16) 11-67

Exhibit A-11.31 Argentine Utility Uplift (17) 11-68

Exhibit A-11.32 Argentine Utility Uplift (18) 11-69

Exhibit A-11.33 Argentine Utility Uplift (19) 11-70

Exhibit A-11.34 Argentine Utility Uplift (20) 11-71

Exhibit A-11.35 Harlem Area Collector System 11-72

Exhibit A-11.36 North Kansas City-Lower (Harlem Area) Calculations..... 11-73

Exhibit A-11.37 Fairfax-Jersey Creek (BPU Floodwall) 11-99

Exhibit A-11.38 Fairfax-Jersey Creek (BPU Floodwall) (2)..... 11-100

Exhibit A-11.39 Fairfax-Jersey Creek (BPU Floodwall) (3)..... 11-101

Exhibit A-11.40 Fairfax-Jersey Creek (BPU Floodwall) (4)..... 11-102

Exhibit A-11.41 Fairfax-Jersey Creek (BPU Floodwall) (5)..... 11-103

Exhibit A-11.42 Fairfax-Jersey Creek (BPU Floodwall) (6)..... 11-104

Exhibit A-11.43 East Bottoms (Missouri and Blue Rivers Confluence Area) 11-105

Exhibit A-11.44 East Bottoms Utilities Uplift (Missouri and Blue Rivers Confluence Area) 11-106

Exhibit A-11.45 Bayer Utility Plans 11-107

Exhibit A-11.46 Bayer Utility Photos..... 11-111

Exhibit A-11.47 North Kansas City-Lower (National Starch Area) Calculations..... 11-113

Exhibit A-11.48 North Kansas City-Lower (National Starch Area) Alternative #1 Sump Layouts 11-125

Exhibit A-11.49 North Kansas City-Lower (National Starch Area) Alternative #2 Sump Layouts 11-128

Exhibit A-11.50 North Kansas City-Lower (National Starch Area) Preliminary Plan and Profile 11-131

Exhibit A-11.51 North Kansas City-Lower (National Starch Area) Utility Plan 11-133

Exhibit A-12.1 Significant Probability of Failure By Levee Unit 12-20

Exhibit A-12.2 Movement at a Floodwall Monolith Joint in the North Kansas City-Lower Unit 12-21

Exhibit A-13.1 Typical I-Wall Cross Section 13-9

Exhibit A-13.2 De-Loading at Station 60+40 13-11

Exhibit A-14.1 BPU Floodwall Probability of Failure 14-5

Exhibit A-14.2 Floodwall Modification 14-6

Exhibit A-14.3 Modified Floodwall Alternative 14-11

Exhibit A-14.4 Combined Modified and Replacement Floodwall Alternative 14-12

Exhibit A-14.5 Fairfax Drainage District Probabilities of Failure 14-13

Exhibit A-15.1 Turner Pump Station Features 15-7

Exhibit A-15.2 Argentine Unit - Turner Pump Station Analysis 15-29

Exhibit A-15.3 Argentine Pump Station Features 15-12

Exhibit A-15.4 Argentine Unit - Argentine Pump Station Analysis 15-200

Exhibit A-15.5 Strong Avenue Pump Station Features 15-16

Exhibit A-15.6 Argentine Unit - Strong Avenue Pump Station Analysis 15-208

Exhibit A-15.7 Additional Turner, Argentine, and Strong Avenue Pump Plant Calculations 15-220

Exhibit A-15.8 Argentine Station Replacement Schematic 15-20

Exhibit A-15.9 Argentine Unit - Bulk Mail Pump Station Analysis 15-233

Exhibit A-15.10 Argentine Unit - ConAgra Pump Station Plans 15-258

Exhibit A-15.11 Argentine Unit - ConAgra Pump Station Analysis..... 15-267

Exhibit A-15.12 Argentine Unit - ConAgra Pump Curve 15-275

Exhibit A-15.13 Typical Pump Station Discharge "Up and Over" Detail 15-278

Exhibit A-15.14 Argentine Unit - Santa Fe Pump Station Analysis..... 15-280

Exhibit A-18.1 Contractor Access for Argentine Raise..... 18-3

Exhibit A-18.2 Contractor Access for East Bottoms
(Missouri and Blue Rivers Confluence Area)..... 18-5

Exhibit A-18.3 Contractor Access for Fairfax-Jersey Creek BPU Floodwall..... 18-7

Exhibit A-18.4 Contractor Access for Fairfax-Jersey Creek
(Jersey Creek Sheetpile Wall)..... 18-9

Exhibit A-18.5 Contractor Access for North Kansas City-Lower (Harlem Area) 18-11

Exhibit A-18.6 Contractor Access for North Kansas City-Lower
(National Starch Area) 18-13

Exhibit A-19.1 Timeline for Interim Feasibility Study Areas of Interest..... 19-2

ACRONYM LIST

A.S.B. – Armour-Swift-Burlington
BPU – Board of Public Utilities
CEMVS – St. Louis District
CENWK – Kansas City District
CH – Highly Plastic Clay
CID – Central Industrial District
CIP – Cast Iron Pipe
CL – Low to Moderate Plastic Clay
CMP – Corrugated Metal Pipe
COE – Corps of Engineers
COV – Coefficient of Variation
DIP – Ductile Iron Pipe
EC – Existing Conditions
EC-GD – Engineering Construction-Geotechnical Dam Safety
ECS – Existing Conditions Submission
ETL – Engineering Technical Letter
FDA - Flood Damage Assessment
FDD – Fairfax Drainage District
FOSM – First Order Second Moment
GDS – Geospatial Data Services
HEC – Hydrologic Engineering Center
HTRW – Hazardous, Toxic, and Radioactive Waste
KCD – Kansas City District
KCMO – Kansas City, Missouri
KCPL – Kansas City Power & Light
KCS – Kansas City Southern
KCT – Kansas City Terminal
KVDD – Kaw Valley Drainage District
ML - Silt
NAD – North American Datum
NED – National Economic Development
NKC – North Kansas City
NKCLD – North Kansas City Levee District
O&M – Operation and Maintenance
PM – Project Manager
PVC – Polyvinylchloride
R&U – Risk and Uncertainty
RCB – Reinforced Concrete Box
RCP – Reinforced Concrete Pipe
SM – Silty Gravel
SP – Poorly Graded Sand
U.E. – Upper End
USACE – United States Army Corps of Engineers
USCS – Unified Soil Classification System
UTM - Universal Transverse Mercator
VCP – Vitrified Clay Pipe
WWTP – Waste Water Treatment Plant