



US Army Corps
of Engineers
Kansas City District

Engineering and Construction Division
Hydrologic Engineering Branch
Water Management Section

Annual Report of Reservoir Regulation Activities

Summary for 2002 - 2003

November 2003

**NORTHWESTERN DIVISION, KANSAS CITY DISTRICT
SUMMARY OF LAKE REGULATION ACTIVITIES
AUGUST 1, 2002 TO JULY 31, 2003**

| | |
|---|-----------|
| PURPOSE AND SCOPE..... | 1 |
| LAKES IN THE KANSAS CITY DISTRICT. | 1 |
| PROJECT FUNCTIONS AND GENERAL PLAN. | 1 |
| CLIMATOLOGY AND HYDROLOGIC CONDITIONS. | 1 |
| PROJECT ACCOMPLISHMENTS. | 2 |
| PROJECT OPERATIONS. | 6 |
| MAJOR REGULATION PROBLEMS AND PROPOSED SOLUTIONS. | 8 |
| WATER CONTROL MANUALS. | 9 |
| HYDROLOGIC DATA COLLECTION..... | 10 |
| RESEARCH AND STUDIES..... | 13 |
| TRAINING AND METHODS..... | 14 |
| PERSONNEL AND FUNDING..... | 14 |

TABLES

| | |
|---|----|
| TABLE 1: FLOOD REDUCTION BENEFITS | 3 |
| TABLE 2: VISITATION HOURS | 6 |
| TABLE 3: PROJECT MANUAL STATUS AND REVISION SCHEDULE..... | 9 |
| TABLE 4: STAFF TRAINING | 14 |
| TABLE 5: WATER MANAGEMENT SECTION PERSONNEL | 14 |

PLATES

| | |
|---|--|
| PLATE 1: KANSAS CITY DISTRICT MAP | |
| PLATE 2A: ENGINEERING DATA, OSAGE RIVER BASIN PROJECTS | |
| PLATE 2B: ENGINEERING DATA, LOWER MISSOURI RIVER BASIN PROJECTS | |
| PLATE 2C: ENGINEERING DATA, LOWER KANSAS RIVER BASIN PROJECTS | |
| PLATE 2D: ENGINEERING DATA, REPUBLICAN RIVER BASIN PROJECTS | |
| PLATE 2E: ENGINEERING DATA, SMOKY HILL RIVER BASIN PROJECTS | |

APPENDICES

| | |
|---|--|
| APPENDIX A: SUMMARY PLOTS OF CORPS OF ENGINEERS PROJECTS | |
| APPENDIX B: SUMMARY PLOTS OF BUREAU OF RECLAMATION PROJECTS | |

PURPOSE AND SCOPE.

This report summarizes the past year's regulation activities at storage projects within the boundaries of the Kansas City District (District) that are operated for flood control by the Water Management Section staff. It also summarizes major work items affecting the projects, and it outlines briefly the programs ongoing or proposed for the year ahead. Topics discussed in the report include climatology, project accomplishments, current project operations; major regulation problems and proposed solutions; lake regulation manuals; data collection programs and procedures; ongoing studies, and personnel of the Water Management Section. The reporting period for Water Management Section activities covers the operating year from August 1, 2002 through July 31, 2003, with additional discussion on proposed operations and studies programmed through calendar year 2004. Preparation of this report is in conformance with paragraph 13b of ER 1110-2-240, dated October 8, 1982.

LAKES IN THE KANSAS CITY DISTRICT.

The Kansas City District includes the watershed of the Missouri River from Rulo, Nebraska, (mile 498.1 above the mouth) to the junction of the Missouri and Mississippi Rivers near St. Louis, Missouri. During the period covered by this report, 29 storage projects, at which the Corps of Engineers (Corps) has either complete or partial water control responsibilities, were in operation within the District. The location of each lake and reservoir in the District is shown on Plate 1, and a summary of engineering data outlining the physical characteristics of each project is included as Plates 2A through 2E.

PROJECT FUNCTIONS AND GENERAL PLAN.

Functions served by storage facilities in the Kansas City District include: flood control, irrigation, water supply, low flow and navigation supplementation, water quality, hydropower, recreation, and fish and wildlife. Most functions except flood control are normally provided through the regulation of storage contained in the multipurpose pool. Releases from multipurpose storage are controlled by the manipulation of gates or other means in accordance with plans, schedules, and ratings prepared in advance to meet various conditions of inflow and demand. The general plan for regulation of flood control storage is to evacuate all accumulations in the flood control space as rapidly as downstream channel capacities and flow conditions permit. Should the top of the flood pool be exceeded, criteria have been developed for each project that schedule releases with an aim toward safeguarding the structure. Downstream interests are warned of the possibility of flooding should a surcharge operation appear likely. Although the storage space in the flood control pool is normally evacuated as quickly as downstream conditions allow, release schedules may be modified at times to serve beneficial purposes such as fish and wildlife enhancement.

CLIMATOLOGY AND HYDROLOGIC CONDITIONS.

For the first month of the reporting period, temperature was near normal. Precipitation was also near normal in Missouri and Kansas, while precipitation was above normal in Iowa and Nebraska. These conditions changed quickly in September with all states reporting below normal precipitation and all states except Nebraska reporting above normal temperatures. Nebraska continued experiencing near normal temperature in September. October turned colder and wetter with all states reporting much below normal temperatures. Rainfall in October was

generally above normal with Kansas reporting much above normal precipitation. November temperatures returned to near normal levels with precipitation falling to much below normal status. December was warmer with dry conditions continuing throughout the basin except in Missouri, which reported near normal precipitation. January was also dry with warmer conditions in Kansas and Nebraska. More normal precipitation returned in February with above normal precipitation in Missouri and Kansas. Temperatures were near normal throughout the region in February except in Missouri, which reported below normal conditions. March continued with near normal temperatures in all states except Nebraska, which reported above normal conditions. March precipitation was below normal in most of the area. Warmer conditions occurred in April with above normal temperatures throughout the District. Precipitation in April was near normal with above normal conditions being reported by Kansas and Nebraska. Normal precipitation and temperatures returned for all states in May and the normal rainfall levels continued into June. June was much colder than normal in Missouri and Kansas, and below normal temperatures was reported by Nebraska and Iowa. The report period ended in July with above normal temperatures and below normal precipitation. The complete report period was the 22nd driest in Missouri, the 40th driest in Kansas, and 52nd driest in Nebraska since 1895.

July 2003 ranked as the 14th driest July for the state of Nebraska. The dryness compounded long-term precipitation deficits. Some communities in central and southeastern Nebraska instituted mandatory or voluntary water restrictions due to low water supplies. Severe crop stress occurred in the southwest and Panhandle. The Associated Press reported in mid-July that more than 500 irrigators in southern Nebraska were ordered to shut down their pumps due to low water in the Big Blue River. According to media reports (The Morning Sun, Aug 1), Governor Kathleen Sebelius of Kansas issued a drought warning on July 31 for 53 Kansas counties due to hot, dry conditions. The warning covered all of western Kansas and the northern tier of counties. The remaining 52 counties of central and eastern Kansas, including Crawford, Bourbon and Cherokee counties, were under a drought watch. Governor Sebelius also has declared four counties disaster areas because of drought conditions, seeking federal assistance.

PROJECT ACCOMPLISHMENTS.

Operating purposes at storage projects in the Kansas City District include flood control, irrigation, water supply, low flow and navigation supplementation, water quality, hydropower, recreation, and fish and wildlife. Project accomplishments in each of these functional areas, for the period covered by this report, are described briefly in the following subparagraphs.

Flood Control.

Stream flow regulation by storage projects in the Kansas City District began with the closure of Kanopolis Lake in February 1948. By July of that year, Kanopolis also provided the first flood control storage, benefiting downstream damage centers. Since this initial impoundment, stream flow regulation by District projects has produced flood reduction benefits estimated in the millions of dollars annually. In addition to the Corps of Engineers lake projects, local protection projects in the form of levees, floodwalls, and channel improvements also have provided flood reduction benefits amounting to millions of dollars. Federal and private agricultural levees along with temporary storage of flood flows in the main stem reservoir system above Sioux City provide additional benefits within the District. Flood reduction benefits during Fiscal Year 2003 credited to all Corps lake projects in the District were \$21,000. During the same period, benefits credited to Section 7 Bureau of Reclamation projects within the District totaled \$504,000.

Table 1: Flood Reduction Benefits
October 1, 2002 through September 30, 2003

| Project | Fiscal Year 2003 | Cumulative |
|-----------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| Clinton Lake, KS | \$0 | \$813,110,000 |
| Harlan County Lake, NE | \$21,000 | \$150,085,000 |
| Harry S Truman Resv., MO | \$0 | \$1,840,235,000 |
| Hillsdale Lake, KS | \$0 | \$31,215,000 |
| Kanopolis Lake, KS | \$0 | \$1,159,728,000 |
| Little Blue River Lakes, MO | \$0 | \$50,813,000 |
| Long Branch Lake, MO | \$0 | \$48,156,000 |
| Melvorn Lake, KS | \$0 | \$149,383,000 |
| Milford Lake, KS | \$0 | \$940,046,000 |
| Perry Lake, KS | \$0 | \$4,164,578,000 |
| Pomme De Terre Lake, MO | \$0 | \$66,075,000 |
| Pomona Lake, KS | \$0 | \$152,802,000 |
| Rathbun Lake, IA | \$0 | \$144,681,000 |
| Smithville Lake, MO | \$0 | \$517,938,000 |
| Stockton Lake, MO | \$0 | \$201,112,000 |
| Tuttle Creek Lake, KS | \$0 | \$3,949,013,000 |
| Wilson Lake, KS | \$0 | \$1,372,422,000 |
| TOTALS | \$21,000 | \$15,752,392,000 |

Irrigation.

Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) project reservoirs had below average carryover storage from the 2001 water year with the exception of Keith Sebelius Lake and Cedar Bluff Reservoir. Of the 12 project reservoirs in the Kansas River Basin, only Keith Sebelius Lake and Lovewell Reservoir did not record below average inflows during at least 11 months of 2002. Just prior to the irrigation season, Enders, Keith Sebelius, Swanson, Hugh Butler, Harry Strunk and Harlan County Lakes, did not have sufficient storage to provide water users with a full water supply. Only Harry Strunk Lake and Lovewell Reservoir had some flood storage occupied prior to the irrigation season. The high irrigation demand months of July and August significantly reduced storage in most project reservoirs. Precipitation during July and August was of little help in reducing the demands on project reservoirs. Storage in the Reclamation project reservoirs was below normal at the end of the 2002 irrigation season with the exception of Keith Sebelius Lake, and Webster and Cedar Bluff Reservoirs. The eleven Reclamation reservoirs in the Kansas River basin, plus the Corps' Harlan County Lake, provided 214,760 acre-feet of irrigation water to 131,620 acres of project lands during calendar year 2002, the latest period for which final values are available.

The State of Colorado makes Bonny Reservoir storage water available to Hale Ditch and other natural flow appropriators under short-term water service contracts. Most of the 700 acres served by Hale Ditch are now owned and operated by the Division of Wildlife. During 2002, the Colorado Water Commissioner did not direct reservoir inflows from the South Fork of the

Republican River and Landsman Creek passed through Bonny Reservoir into Hale Ditch. Likewise, the Colorado Department of Natural Resources did not request storage releases for irrigation purposes into Hale Ditch.

Municipal, Industrial, and Quality Control.

Three municipalities and one rural water district have executed water service contracts for full or supplemental water supplies from three Reclamation reservoirs. A contract with the city of Norton, Kansas, provides for a maximum annual usage of 1,600 acre-feet from Keith Sebelius Lake (Norton Dam). A contract with Beloit, Kansas, provides for a maximum annual usage of 2,000 acre-feet from Waconda Lake. Waconda Lake also provides up to 1,009 acre-feet of water for a contract with the Mitchell County Rural Water District No. 2. A contract with the city of Russell, Kansas, provides for a maximum annual usage of 2,000 acre-feet from Cedar Bluff Reservoir.

During calendar year 2002, the City of Norton used 616 acre-feet of storage from Keith Sebelius Lake for municipal purposes. Storage releases made from Waconda Lake for the city of Beloit totaled 348 AF, with an additional 6,098 AF bypassed for quality control as directed by the State Water Commissioner. Releases of 790 acre-feet were made to the Mitchell County Rural Water District No. 2 from Waconda Lake. A total 1,170 AF of water was released during 2002 from Cedar Bluff Reservoir for the City of Russell. The State of Kansas used the fish hatchery facility located below Cedar Bluff Dam for waterfowl habitat with 522 AF released to the facility.

Water supply contracts for lake storage space, annual withdrawals, or surplus water exist between the Corps of Engineers and the State of Kansas and 12 other municipalities and rural water districts within Kansas, Missouri, and Iowa. The State of Kansas in turn contracts with a large number of municipalities and industrial sites to supply water from the State's contracted storage space through the water assurance and water marketing programs. To date, assurance districts have been formed for users in the lower Kansas River and the State of Kansas portion of the Marais des Cygnes River. Water is supplied within the limits of each contract through designated lake releases or from intakes located on the lake at the following projects: Kanopolis, Milford, Tuttle Creek, Perry, Clinton, Melvern, Pomona, Hillsdale, Smithville, Longview, Rathbun, Long Branch, Stockton, and Harry S Truman.

Recommendations for minimum stream flows to benefit stream sanitation and for the maintenance of desirable water quality standards were originally established by the U.S. Public Health Service for many river reaches below proposed dams in the District. These recommendations were then utilized to establish minimum release requirements for many of the District lake projects. The minimum release standards set by the Corps water control plans are usually less than the minimum desirable stream flows set by state water authorities. The latter are intended to satisfy water right holders and fish and wildlife flow standards. In some cases, specific water quality storage allocations were included in the project planning to increase the reliability of the minimum flow releases. Depending on the project, the minimum release quantities may be constant through the year, or they may vary seasonally or vary depending on the amount of current lake storage. Minimum releases for the purposes of downstream quality control and stream sanitation range from 3 c.f.s. during the winter months at Hillsdale Lake to 100 c.f.s. at Tuttle Creek Lake. Seepage is generally considered sufficient to meet minimum flow requirements downstream of the Reclamation dams. Additional releases are made from Tuttle Creek, Milford, and Perry Lakes for water quality purposes during periods of low flow on

the Kansas River. Releases from any lake may be reduced below minimum requirements for brief periods due to construction, periodic inspections, or emergencies.

Navigation.

Releases from the Missouri River main stem reservoir system are designed to provide equitable service to navigation and other project purposes, while at the same time recognizing the important flood control functions of the system. Navigation on the Missouri is limited to the ice-free season, with a full season normally extending from April 1 to December 1 at the mouth. Operating experience plus numerous studies have indicated that flows of 35,000 cfs at Kansas City are the minimum that will permit navigation. Groundings can occur with flows of that magnitude, and dredging may be needed to alleviate local problems. Therefore, an additional flow of 6,000 cfs above the minimum service target has been set as the "full service" level for the navigation function. Thus, a full-service target flow of 41,000 cfs at Kansas City is considered adequate to maintain the designed 9-foot by 300-foot channel with little or no dredging. Milford, Tuttle Creek and Perry lakes are at times called upon to supplement Missouri River flows below Kansas City in order to meet the navigation requirement and to conserve water in the main stem lakes.

On October 30, 2002, the Reservoir Control Center notified the Kansas City District that navigation support would be required. Tuttle Creek Lake releases were increased to a maximum of 1200 c.f.s. to supplement Missouri River flows during November. Tuttle Creek Lake dropped to an elevation of 1069, six feet below its multipurpose elevation but within the guidelines established in the water control manuals. Milford did not provide any navigation support during November. Perry Lake releases were increased from 25 c.f.s. (low flow) to 100 c.f.s. on November 13, 2002 to supplement navigation. The additional 75 c.f.s. release from Perry was maintained for one day, after which the release was returned to low flow. On November 22, 2002, the supplemental releases were stopped from Tuttle Creek Lake as the navigation season ended.

Hydropower.

Hydropower is generated at two Kansas City District projects. Stockton Dam has one unit with a nameplate rated capacity of 45 megawatts, and an overload generation rate of 52 megawatts. Harry S. Truman Dam has six units with a total nameplate rated capacity of 160 megawatts, and an overload generation rate of 180 megawatts. The Southwestern Power Administration markets power from Stockton and Harry S. Truman.

Stockton's power operation continues to be restricted by downstream channel capacities that limit tailwater elevations to 777.0 feet, mean sea level and Highway "J" stages to a maximum reading of 17.5 feet. Generation by the Stockton plant during this report period totaled 13,494 megawatt hours.

Generation by the Harry S. Truman plant totaled 49,107 megawatt hours during the period of this report. Power generation releases at Harry S Truman are restricted to four units during the week and three units on weekends between Memorial Day and Labor Day by the Consensus Plan. During the period December 1 to March 1, five units may be operated during the weekdays (total time limited to 600 hours per year) and three units on weekends. The tailwater elevation measured at the Highway 7 bridge at Warsaw is limited to 662.5 feet, Union Electric datum, during five-unit releases from the power pool. Flood control releases are made

through the generation units as much as possible. When Truman pool level is above 710.0 feet, a minimum of one unit is operated continuously. The Consensus Plan for Truman was negotiated and approved between the Corps, the State, and the Southwestern Power Administration, and became effective March 1990.

Fish and Wildlife.

Water level management plans, which include the fluctuation of pool levels at various times of the year for the enhancement of fish and migrating waterfowl, were in effect during the report period at the following Kansas City District lakes: Smithville, Clinton, Hillsdale, Kanopolis, Melvern, Wilson, Pomme de Terre, Perry, Pomona, Rathbun, Milford, Tuttle Creek, Stockton, and Long Branch.

Recreation.

Recreational use of the Corps lakes is a highly visible and important function. Recreational use is enhanced when the lakes are operated close to their normal or multipurpose pool levels. During flood years when large quantities of water are stored in the flood pools and during drought years when the lake levels drop, then access to the lakes and the shoreline facilities, as well as the quality of the experience, is reduced. Park managers at the projects are also concerned about related factors such as facility maintenance and water quality. The fish and wildlife function is closely related to the recreation experience, and coordination with state and county park officials for park management is important. A list by projects

Table 2: Visitation Hours
October 1, 2002 through September 30, 2003

| Project | Visitation (Visitor Hours) |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Clinton Lake, KS | 7,959,056 |
| Harlan County Lake, NE | 6,879,339 |
| Harry S. Truman Resv., MO | 10,480,021 |
| Hillsdale Lake, KS | 1,925,955 |
| Kanopolis Lake, KS | 1,462,425 |
| Long Branch Lake, MO | 1,102,534 |
| Longview/Blue Springs MO | 2,471,342 |
| Melvorn Lake, KS | 5,295,054 |
| Milford Lake, KS | 6,275,920 |
| Perry Lake, KS | 3,789,215 |
| Pomme de Terre Lake, MO | 11,744,334 |
| Pomona Lake, KS | 4,487,007 |
| Rathbun Lake, IA | 5,600,757 |
| Smithville Lake, MO | 6,543,542 |
| Stockton Lake, MO | 6,545,670 |
| Tuttle Creek Lake, KS | 2,655,336 |
| Wilson Lake, KS | 3,276,189 |
| TOTALS | 88,493,696 |

of the visitation totals at Corps lakes is shown in Table 2. Project park facilities at Blue Springs, Hillsdale, Long Branch, Longview, and Smithville are leased to county or state agencies.

PROJECT OPERATIONS.

Corps of Engineer Lakes - August 1, 2002 through July 31, 2003.

No significant lake regulation activities occurred during the report period. Seven of the District's 18 lakes did not store any water in their flood pools at anytime during the past reporting period. Of the eleven lakes storing excess water, none stored a significant amount. The maximum encroachment into exclusive flood control space was 11.37 feet above multipurpose level at Tuttle Creek on July 2, 2003. Milford Lake experienced a historic minimum pool on January 13, 2003 of 1136.89 ft.,m.s.l.

With the exception of special operations required under the Endangered Species Act, Corps lakes within the Kansas City District were regulated in accordance with normal

procedures during the period covered by this report. Details regarding the regulation of all projects are included, along with pool elevation hydrographs, in Appendix A of this report.

The District has developed a plan of operation to monitor the nesting areas and coordinate lake releases. Since the 2000 nesting season, the District has contracted each year with Dr. Roger Boyd of Baker University in Baldwin, KS, to monitor nesting activities. The District's Environmental Resources Section administered the contract and provided coordination with other agencies, including the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. The Water Management Section has acquired an airboat and trailer to conduct additional monitoring. During the 2003 season, a Water Management Hydrologic Engineer provided redundant monitoring of bird activity and Kansas River conditions in addition to Dr. Boyd. In general, bird pairs tend to build their nests very close to the shoreline on scoured sandbars. Once nests are located releases from Milford and Tuttle Creek lakes would be set to protect the birds. Because of the 1 to 2-day travel time it takes for a change in release at the upstream projects to reach the downstream nesting sites, it is not possible to provide substantial protection for the birds from rainfall events. Changing project releases do not tend to have an impact on the downstream stages before the local inflows from a rainstorm flood the nesting sites. Nests can also be lost due to inclement weather like hail and from predation.

During the 2003 nesting season, the efforts to protect the endangered species were unsuccessful. Even though the District installed cages around some nest sites to protect against predation, posted signs to warn people of the importance of the nesting sites, and provided extensive resource investment in personnel and equipment, no Piping Plovers and only five Least Terns were fledged from a total of 42 nests. The poor fledge rate, during a very dry year, demonstrates the undesirability of the Kansas River as a nesting area for these endangered species.

Bureau of Reclamation Projects – January 1, 2002 through December 31, 2002.

Reservoir operations at the eleven Reclamation projects in the Kansas City District were carried out in accordance with normal regulation procedures during the period covered by this report. At the Reclamation projects, all operations are scheduled for optimum benefits of the authorized project functions. Monthly, or as often as runoff and weather conditions dictate, Reclamation personnel evaluate the carryover storage and estimated inflow at each reservoir to determine whether excess water is anticipated. If excess inflow is apparent, controlled releases are made to maximize lake and downstream benefits, including flood control.

The regulation of flood control storage in Reclamation reservoirs in the Kansas River basin has been assigned to the Kansas City District Water Management Section. When project inflows are sufficient to produce an encroachment into the flood pool, coordination is immediate between the two Federal agencies, and decisions are made regarding the regulation desired. Water Management staff issues regulation orders to the Reclamation's Water Operations Group at the McCook Field Office in Nebraska. The McCook Field Office is responsible for issuing orders for both flood control and conservation releases to the Reservoir Superintendent. Details on operation of Reclamation's reservoirs, along with pool elevation hydrographs, are included in Appendix B of this report.

Reclamation project reservoirs had below average carryover storage from the 2001 water year with the exception of Keith Sebelius Lake and Cedar Bluff Reservoir. The 2002 inflow was

below normal at all of Reclamation's project reservoirs. Storage in the project reservoirs was below normal at the end of the 2002 irrigation season with the exception of Keith Sebelius Lake, and Webster and Cedar Bluff Reservoirs.

Proposed Operations - August 2003 Through Calendar Year 2004.

Corps and Reclamation storage lakes in the District contained a total of 4,948,167 AF of storage on August 1, 2003. Of the total volume in storage, 506,430 AF (10 percent) were contained in the Reclamation lakes and 4,441,737 AF (90 percent) were contained in the Corps projects.

Only six of the eighteen Corps lakes and none of the eleven Reclamation lakes in the District contained storage in their flood control pools on August 1, 2003. The occupied flood control storage amounted to 116,056 AF, less than one percent of the total system flood control space available. This volume compares to 65,029 AF of flood control storage space occupied on August 1, 2002.

MAJOR REGULATION PROBLEMS AND PROPOSED SOLUTIONS.

During this reporting period a minor deviation from the approved water control plans for Rathbun Lake was in effect. On May 8, 2002, Northwestern Division approved a deviation for higher releases from Rathbun Lake due to increased channel capacity downstream. The higher channel capacity is due to a change in downstream land usage. Farmland, which would have been affected by higher release rates, has been converted to wetlands or enrolled in the NRCS Wetland Reserve Program. The deviation expired on December 31, 2002.

Northwestern Division approved an additional minor deviation on May 2, 2003 for Lovewell Reservoir. A two feet encroachment into the bottom of the Lovewell flood pool was approved to supplement storage from Harlan County Lake for irrigation purposes. The Bureau of Reclamation operates Harlan County Lake and Lovewell Reservoir as a unit when supplying irrigation water to the Bostwick Irrigation Districts. Storing excess water in Lovewell Reservoir during drought conditions does not adversely effect the flood control capabilities of the project, but provides badly needed storage to supplement the supply from Harlan County Lake.

WATER CONTROL MANUALS.

This section serves to provide the information requested in paragraph 13c of ER 1110-2-240, dated October 8, 1982, regarding the status of water control manuals.

Table 3: Project Manual Status and Revision Schedule

| Reservoir/Lake | Stream/River | Owner | Report Status | Submission Schedule |
|-----------------|---------------------|-------|---|---------------------|
| Nebraska | | | | |
| Master Manual | Republican | CE | Updated final submitted to NWD for review July 28, 1977 | |
| Harlan County | Republican | CE | Revision approved by NWD May 10, 2001 | |
| Harry Strunk | Medicine Creek | BR | Approved by NWD July 12, 1974 | |
| Enders | Frenchman Creek | BR | Approved by NWD March 26, 1973 | |
| Swanson | Republican | BR | Flood Control Regulation approved by OCE October 6, 1969 | |
| Hugh Butler | Red Willow Creek | BR | Flood Control Regulation approved by OCE November 21, 1969 | |
| Colorado | | | | |
| Bonny | S. Fork Republican | BR | Approved by OCE October 6, 1969 | |
| Kansas | | | | |
| Lovewell | White Rock Creek | BR | Approved by OCE April 9, 1969 subject to comments | |
| Milford | Republican | CE | Approved December 1984. Minor revision approved Jan 1995 | |
| Norton | Prairie Dog Creek | BR | Approved August 28, 1974 | |
| Master Manual | Smoky Hill | CE | Approved March 28, 1975 | |
| Kanopolis | Smoky Hill | CE | Revision submitted to NWD October 30, 1984 | |
| Cedar Bluff | Smoky Hill | BR | Approved by NWD September 25, 1975 | |
| Kirwin | N. Fork Solomon | BR | Approved by NWD February 6, 1974 | |
| Webster | S. Fork Solomon | BR | Approved by NWD July 16, 1975 | |
| Wilson | Saline | CE | Revision submitted to NWD June 13, 1997 | |
| Waconda | Solomon River | BR | Approved by NWD July 12, 1972 | |
| Master Manual | Kansas | CE | Approved by OCE March 22, 1967 subject to comments | |
| Tuttle Creek | Big Blue | CE | Approved April 16, 1974. Minor revision approved January 1995 | |
| Perry | Delaware | CE | Approved July 1973. Minor revision approved January 1995 | |
| Clinton | Wakarusa | CE | Approved February 12, 1980 | |
| Master Manual | Osage River | CE | Approved by OCE Sep 21, 70 subject to NWD, OCE comments | |
| Pomona | 110 Mile Creek | CE | Approved February 1973 | |
| Melvern | Marais Des Cygnes | CE | Approved June 27, 1985 | |
| Hillsdale | Big Bull Creek | CE | Approved June 19, 1985 | |
| Missouri | | | | |
| Pomme De Terre | Pomme De Terre | CE | Revision submitted to NWD September 1996 | |
| Harry S. Truman | Osage | CE | Interim manual approved by NWD May 12, 1981. Minor revision approved April 1996 | |
| Stockton | Sac | CE | Approved August 21, 1975 | |
| Smithville | Little Platte | CE | Approved August 12, 1979 | |
| Long Branch | E. Fk LtI. Chariton | CE | Interim manual approved November 21, 1978 | |
| Longview | Little Blue | CE | Approved February 15, 1994 | |
| Blue Springs | E. Fork Little Blue | CE | Approved January 27, 1994 subject to comments. Revision submitted to NWD December 1994 | |
| Iowa | | | | |
| Rathbun | Chariton | CE | Approved October 19, 1981 | July 2005 |

Manual Status.

Water control plans prepared for specific projects and basins within the Kansas City District have been documented in appropriate manuals as directed by paragraph 6c of the above referenced ER. Paragraph 6c also directs that water control plans be revised as necessary to conform with changing requirements resulting from developments in the project area and downstream, improvements in technology, new legislation, or other relevant factors, provided

such revisions comply with existing Federal regulations and established Corps of Engineers policy.

The water control manual for Pomme de Terre Lake was reviewed by the Division and returned for corrections and clarifications on March 18, 1997. The water control manual for Wilson Lake was submitted to the Division for review on June 13, 1997. Submittal of a revised Rathbun water control manual is scheduled for July 2005. Revisions to the existing manual are being considered due to changed downstream conditions. The schedule and status of manuals for all projects is shown on Table 3.

Other Reports.

Plates 2A-E list project data showing the date impoundment of storage began, the date the multipurpose pool (the active conservation pool in USBR projects) first filled, and the current status of Standing Instructions for Regulation of Storage in Corps of Engineers Lakes.

As indicated in Engineering Manual 1110-2-3600, it is essential that project operators (dam tenders, operations managers, power plant superintendents) at the various flood control and multiple-purpose reservoirs be supplied with regulation schedules to be followed in case of communication failure. These regulation schedules should be followed in case of communication failure with the headquarters from which instructions are normally issued during flood situations. Standing Instructions have not yet been issued for Harry S. Truman Reservoir, Clinton, Hillsdale, Long Branch, Smithville, Longview, and Blue Springs Lakes.

HYDROLOGIC DATA COLLECTION.

The primary objectives of Kansas City District's hydrologic data program is to provide information on precipitation and stream flow characteristics occurring over and within a particular area for a given period of time. These data are used for many purposes, including the design, construction, and maintenance of a wide variety of structures in and along streams; the management of lake releases during floods; the production of hydropower; the design and maintenance of navigation facilities; the control of pollution; the management of flood plains; the development of recreational facilities; the design of highway bridges and culverts; the establishing and administering of water rights and compacts; and the resolving of political, social, and legal water problems. As with any program, however, the restraint on funds and manpower, and the usefulness of the data obtained will determine to what extent the program will, or should, be pursued at any particular point in time. The overall program of observing, monitoring, and collecting hydrologic and meteorological data in the District is quite extensive yet flexible to meet operational and economic needs. Brief descriptions of the various types of data collection now being utilized are presented in the following paragraphs.

Collection and Processing of Water Control Data.

Hydrologic data such as precipitation, stream flow, and lake information are collected in the Kansas City District by: individual observers, Corps project offices, the National Weather Service, the Geological Survey, the Bureau of Reclamation, and certain state agencies. Several different methods of communication are used in the Kansas City District to receive these data including: electronic transfer, telephone, and fax. The electronic transfer of data includes FTP between agency computers and data transmitted through a satellite downlink and a Datawise Receive Station. Data received by the District is entered onto the Water Management Section's

Unix server database by both automated and manual methods, depending on the data source. Software developed by Water Management Section staff provides a means to view, screen, and process the data for graphical and reporting purposes. The data is then uploaded to the MSC database in Omaha. Daily data and project reports are also available to the public at the Section's web site, <http://www.nwk.usace.army.mil/current.html>

The Water Management Section has acquired a second server and upgraded the existing server to serve as a backup for the MSC database in Omaha. District also completed LRGS Decodes Training on August 14 and 15, 2002 in the District office.

Automatic Remote Sensors.

Data Collection Platforms (DCP's) are the primary means by which Kansas City District obtains remote sensing data on stream stages and lake elevations. The DCP is a sophisticated device that collects the information from a USGS manometer and transmits the data to a GOES satellite for subsequent retrieval by the National Environmental Satellite, Data, and Information Service (NESDIS) at Wallops Island, Virginia. NESDIS then rebroadcasts all data over a single high-speed channel on a Domestic Communications Satellite (DOMSAT). The Water Management Section receives DCP data from NESDIS or directly from the DCP's with a DOMSAT receive station. Maintenance of the DCP's is performed by the USGS under contract with the Corps of Engineers. In 2002, the District supported 115 permanent DCP's. A breakdown of the total number of DCP's, by states, shows 49 units in Missouri, 50 in Kansas, 9 in Nebraska, and 7 in Iowa.

Cooperative Hydrologic Programs.

Constraints on funds and manpower do not allow the Corps to administer an independent data collection program that satisfies all of its needs. Therefore, assistance is sought from other cooperating agencies. A nationwide program of data collection at selected stream gauging stations has been administered for a number of years by the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS). A similar network of reporting stations has been operated by the National Weather Service (NWS) for their river forecasting services. Arrangements have also been made with the USGS through which they supplement their network of reporting stations, or increase the frequency of reports, to better satisfy Corps needs. The program, designated the "Cooperative Hydrologic Reporting Network," is administered by the USGS and supported by funds transferred from the Corps and by National Streamflow Information Program (NSIP) funds. Arrangements for the services provided are made with USGS data chiefs in each state and submitted annually to the Chief of Engineers, through the Division Commander, for review and approval. A summary of funds expended for data collection purposes during the report period is included in the Personnel and Funding section at the end of this report.

Water Quality Investigations and Monitoring Activities.

The Water Quality Unit's (PM-PR-W) 2003 activities were highlighted by the continuation of long-term studies of the Big Bull (Hillsdale Lake), Chariton (Rathbun Lake), and Little Platte (Smithville Lake) watersheds. The Big Bull watershed studies with EPA 319 funding involve numerous federal, state, county, and local agencies, as well as citizen groups, in quantifying the levels of nutrients and herbicides throughout the watershed and implementing pollution reduction strategies. The latter include increased use of best management practices on

agricultural lands and the use of constructed wetlands to improve the quality of point-source effluents. PM-PR-W teamed with Hillsdale Lake project personnel to perform the lake-monitoring portion of the work, which included monthly insitu profiling of temperature, dissolved oxygen, conductivity, pH, and redox; secchi measurements; sample collection and filtration; chlorophyll, turbidity, immunoassay herbicide, and suspended solids analyses; coordination with other laboratories; and data management.

In the seventh year of the multi-agency, cooperative study of the Chariton watershed, PM-PR-W and Rathbun Lake project personnel teamed to perform monthly surveys of four lake stations and the outlet. Sampling of 15 tributaries was carried out by Iowa State University Limnology Laboratory personnel. PM-PR-W performed chlorophyll, turbidity, suspended solids, and immunoassay herbicide analyses while the Chemical and Materials Quality Assurance Laboratory (CMQAL) performed nitrogen and phosphorous group, and QAQC pesticide analyses. PM-PR-W continued to provide data management for the long-term study. As in the Big Bull watershed studies, the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) with major support from 319 funding assisted in obtaining the voluntary support of the agricultural community in reducing the amount of non-point source runoff.

For its part in the Little Platte watershed studies, PM-PR-W teamed with Smithville Lake project personnel to perform monthly surveys of the three lake stations, the outlet, and the major tributary in 2003. Physical, chemical, and biological analyses noted above were performed by PM-PR-W and CMQAL. Reports were provided to various members of the study and to the general public.

In addition the following lake projects supported the District water quality monitoring effort in 2003: Long Branch, Clinton, Perry, Milford, Tuttle Creek, Wilson, Kanopolis, Pomona, Melvern, and Harlan County. Approximately 125 samples per month during April-September were collected by project personnel at lake, outlet, and inflow stations and analyzed by PM-PR-W and CMQAL for herbicides and nutrients, respectively. Also PM-PR-W provided equipment, training, and technical support to the cooperating projects. Reports were provided to each of the participating projects and placed on the Internet for access by other agencies and the public.

PM-PR-W , also, teamed with Rathbun Lake project personnel to host a seminar on blue green algae and its effects on our lakes.

Other activities to support the sampling and analytical capabilities of PM-PR-W were data management, procurement of supplies and equipment, maintenance and calibration of field and laboratory equipment, and maintenance of mobile laboratory and marine equipment. The unit also carried out a quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) program with the cooperating laboratories.

Sediment Observations.

During the Fiscal Year 2003 reporting period, the Kansas City District survey crews surveyed cross sections at stream channel degradation ranges downstream of Clinton, and Longview Dam as part of a regular cycle of monitoring. Clinton is located in northeast Kansas, and Longview is in the southeastern portion of metropolitan Kansas City, Missouri. The cross sections were plotted and compared to previous surveys.

At Clinton, no noticeable changes have occurred recently at ranges "A" (approximate Sta. 62+70), "A-1" (approximate Sta. 69+00), and "A-2" (approximate Sta. 87+20), all of them

within the excavated outlet channel. Erosion is evident on the right bank of the natural river valley at ranges “B” and “D”. A new degradation range started to be surveyed in 1998 at the location of a severe side slope erosion that has been occurring immediately downstream of a natural rock ledge at approximate Station 80+00 and extends approximately 200 feet downstream; in this zone the banks are nearly vertical. The measurements showed that the degradation worsened on the left bank. The vertical drop is of the order of 30 feet. The outlet channel was originally excavated with a bottom width of 35 feet, and side slopes of 1 vertical on 3 horizontal. Current surveys indicate this section has widened in this zone to approximately 150 feet. Operation of the outlet channel continues to function as designed based on allowable degradation and hydraulic control at the rock ledge at approximate Station 80+00. At this time the rock ledge appears stable and should continue to provide grade control for design tailwater development.

The Longview downstream channel degradation will be evaluated during the Periodic Inspection scheduled for Fiscal Year 2004.

Through an interagency cooperative agreement with the USGS, the District collects point, depth integrated, and bed sample sediment samples at three Missouri River stations and two inflow stations to Harry S. Truman Reservoir. The Missouri River data at St. Joseph, Kansas City, and Hermann include point velocities. Laboratory analyses are performed at the USGS facility at Rolla, Missouri, and the results are stored in their database. The USGS publishes the suspended sediment load data for the Schell City and Clinton stations.

RESEARCH AND STUDIES.

During the past year, personnel of the Water Management Section have been actively involved in research projects and studies as described below.

In 1998, the State of Kansas sought leave of the Supreme Court to file a complaint against the States of Nebraska and Colorado to enforce Kansas’ rights under the Republican River Compact. The basis of Kansas’ complaint was that Nebraska had breached the Compact; by allowing its citizens to pump groundwater that is hydraulically connected to surface flow, by failing to protect surface flows from unauthorized appropriation, and by other unidentified means. Kansas sought no relief from Colorado. The United States was an *amicus curiae* in the case. On November 15, 1999, the Court appointed a Special Master, Vincent L. McKusick, and referred the case to him.

Discovery and initial briefing proceeded unabated until late 2001, when the parties agreed to the appointment of an independent mediator. Between late 2001 and April 1, 2002, the parties held a series of negotiation sessions attended by the State Engineer and lawyers for each State, and the Federal Team. The Federal Team included members from the Department of Justice, Department of Reclamation and the Kansas City District.

On or before May 3, 2002, the Governors of each State signed an agreement in principle setting forth a framework for settling the litigation. The agreement in principle set a seven-month negotiation schedule for resolution of remaining issues and completion of a final settlement stipulation. After intensive discussions, on November 18, 2002, the States’ negotiating teams completed a proposed settlement stipulation with appendices and accompanying documents. The Office of Solicitor General recommended approval of the stipulation after receiving input from Reclamation, the Corps, and the Department of Justice. The settlement stipulation was signed by the Governor of each State and referred to the Special Master on December 16, 2002.

The Special Master conducted a hearing on the Settlement on January 6, 2003 and recommended approval to the Supreme Court. On May 19, 2003, the Supreme Court approved the Final Settlement Stipulation.

The Federal Team worked diligently throughout the lawsuit to protect the interest of the United States in the Republican River Basin. The Water Management Section of the Kansas City District represented the Corps of Engineers at every negotiation session and numerous side meetings of engineering and legal committees for a period of over a year. The resultant settlement provides protection for Harlan County Lake from stream flow depletions and should result in improve Harlan County Lake inflow levels

TRAINING AND METHODS.

Training of Water Management Section staff progresses as time and scheduling permit. Technical abilities are enhanced as individuals continue to pursue courses on their own initiative. During the period of this report, Section employees participated in the training courses listed in Table 4. In addition, all staff members attended in-house training on Project Management Business Processes, and LRGS Decodes Training.

Table 4: Staff Training

| Employee | Course or Training |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------|
| Alan Bruns | Riverware |
| Jerry Holtz | Hydrologic Engineering Planning |
| Kevin Low | 2003 Watershed System Conference |
| Debbie Noble | HEC-RAS, Water & Watershed |
| Edward Parker | Dr. Checks |
| Steve Spaulding | Unsteady Flow HEC-RAS Dr. Checks |

PERSONNEL AND FUNDING.

Personnel.

Authorized positions of the Water Management Section at the close of this reporting period (July 31, 2003) consisted of one Supervisory Hydraulic Engineer, four Hydraulic Engineers, one Hydrologist, and three Hydrologic Technicians. At the end of this reporting period, the Section had one vacant Hydraulic Engineer position. A listing of the personnel currently employed in the Section by name and title is shown in Table 5.

Table 5: Water Management Section Personnel

| Employee | Grade |
|------------------------------------|-------|
| Kevin Low (1) | GS-13 |
| Alan Bruns (3) | GS-12 |
| Jan Doughman (4) | GS-11 |
| Vacant (2) | GS-12 |
| Jerry Holtz (4) | GS-11 |
| Jim Knapp (2) | GS-12 |
| Debbie Noble (4) | GS-11 |
| Edward Parker (2) | GS-12 |
| Steve Spaulding (2) | GS-12 |
| Job Title | |
| (1) Supervisory Hydraulic Engineer | |
| (2) Hydraulic Engineer | |
| (3) Hydrologist | |
| (4) Hydrologic Technician | |

Funding.

Activities of the Water Management Section are funded from the following sources:

Planning.

Part of the funds appropriated for survey reports, flood plain information studies, and project planning studies are assigned to the Water Management Section for special studies if water control plans or associated studies are included in connection with the planning and design of projects in the Kansas City District.

Operations and Maintenance.

Operation of the existing lakes and reservoirs in the Kansas City District requires stream flow forecasting, water control planning, stream gauging, and other related activities for each authorized function at Corps of Engineers projects, and for the flood control function at Bureau of Reclamation projects. Operation and maintenance funds are used for these purposes.


Technical Services and Flood Emergency.

Technical services provided to non-Federal interests, flood emergency operations, post flood reports, and the annual flood report are tasks assigned to the Water Management Section. These activities vary from year to year. Special accounts are provided for these services.

Data Collection Programs.

.The Cooperative Stream Gauging Program with the four U.S. Geological Survey districts (Kansas, Nebraska, Iowa, and Missouri) includes 136 stations.

The program to collect stage data from independent stations was discontinued after FY 2002.



LEGEND

- | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| LAKES | LOCAL PROTECTION | BOUNDARIES |
| COMPLETED ———— | COMPLETED OR UNDER CONSTRUCTION ———— ● | KANSAS CITY DISTRICT (CIVIL) ———— |
| UNDER CONSTRUCTION ———— | AUTHORIZED ———— ● | KANSAS CITY DISTRICT (MILITARY) ———— |
| PLANNING ———— | PLANNING ———— ● | KANSAS CITY DISTRICT (REGULATORY) ———— |
| AUTHORIZED ———— | MILITARY BASE ———— ■ | OTHER DISTRICTS ———— |
| RECOMMENDED ———— | | |
| BUREAU OF RECLAMATION PROJECTS ———— * | | |
| OTHERS OF NOTE ———— | | |

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
 KANSAS CITY DISTRICT
 CORPS OF ENGINEERS
 FEBRUARY 1994
 FILE NO. K-1-734
PLATE 1

| SUBJECT | MELVERN LAKE | POMONA LAKE | HILLSDALE LAKE | STOCKTON LAKE | POMME DE TERRE LAKE | HARRY S. TRUMAN RESERVOIR | REMARKS |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|---|---|
| GENERAL Location of Dam Stream / River Miles above Mouth Contributing Drainage Area, square miles Approximate Length of Full Reservoir, miles Shoreline, miles (1) Maximum Discharge of Record nr Dam Site Date of Closure Date Storage Began Date Multipurpose Level Reached Operating Agency | Near Melvern, KS Marais des Cygnes River 175.4 349 22 101 68,500 cfs (July 11, 1951) October 2, 1970 August 1, 1972 April 4, 1975 Corps of Engineers | Near Pomona, KS 110 Mile Creek 8.3 322 12 52 38,600 cfs (July 11, 1951) July 19, 1962 October 18, 1963 June 5, 1965 Corps of Engineers | Near Paola, KS Big Bull Creek 18.2 144 15 51 45,200 cfs (July 11, 1951) June 15, 1980 September 19, 1981 February 23, 1985 Corps of Engineers | Near Stockton, MO Sac River 51.4 1,160 24 298 120,000 cfs (May 19, 1943) September 23, 1968 December 12, 1969 December 18, 1971 Corps of Engineers | Near Hermitage MO Pomme de Terre River 45.6 611 28 113 70,000 cfs (Aug 8, 1927) June 28, 1960 October 29, 1961 June 15, 1963 Corps of Engineers | Near Warsaw, MO Osage River 175.1 8,914 (4) 122 958 259,000 cfs (May 17, 1943) July 21, 1977 February 7, 1979 November 29, 1979 Corps of Engineers | (1) With pool at multipurpose level. (2) Damming height is from the original riverbed to the top of the flood control pool. (3) Based on latest available storage data. The revision dates of the current area - capacity tables are indicated below with the effective dates in parentheses: Melvern, February 1986 (effective March 1, 1986) Pomona, March 1990 (effective April 1, 1990) Hillsdale, 1969 (initial) Stockton, February 1988 (effective May 1, 1988) Pomme de Terre, February 1985 (effective Mar 85) Harry S. Truman, April 1993 (effective Mar 94) (4) The total drainage area above Truman Dam is 11,500 square miles. The indicated total is the local drainage area below the upstream dams. (5) In 1994, 1000 AF of flood control storage at Truman Reservoir was reallocated to water supply. This is a nominal storage after allowances for sediment. Current water supply storage using the Mar 95 capacity tables is 1,023 AF. The top of the multipurpose pool was then adjusted from 706.0 to 706.018. Approximately 2/3 of the water supply space has been contracted to users. |
| DAM AND EMBANKMENT Top of Dam Elevation, feet msl Length of Dam, feet (net) Damming Height, feet (2) Type of Fill Fill Quantity, cubic yards | 1,078.0 9,650 105 Earth 9,100,000 | 1,031.0 7,750 83 Earth 5,200,000 | 952.2 8,700 plus 3,300 dike 79 Earth 6,964,000 | 911.0 for concrete section 912.0 for embankment 5,100 plus 5,600 dike 132 Rock Shell 7,100,000 | 906.0 4,630 plus 2,790 dike 124 Earth 5,800,000 | 756.0 5,000 plus 7,500 dike 105 Earth 8,500,000 | |
| SPILLWAY Location Crest Elevation, feet msl Width, Feet Number, Size, and Type of Gates Discharge Capacity, Top of Surcharge Pool | Left Abutment 1,057.0 200 None 36,000 cfs | Right Abutment 1,006.0 200 None 50,300 cfs | Right Abutment 935.0 50 None 4,750 cfs | Left Abutment 861.5 160 4 - 40'x30.5' Tainter 182,500 cfs | Right Abutment 874.0 170 None 73,000 cfs | Center of Dam 692.3 160 4 - 40'x47.3' Tainter 284,000 cfs | |
| RESERVOIR (3) Surcharge Pool Elevation and Area Flood Control Pool Elevation and Area Multipurpose Pool Elevation and Area Surcharge Storage, AF Flood Control Storage, AF Multipurpose Storage, AF Gross Storage, AF Design Sediment Reserve Storage Measured Sediment Inflow | 1,073.0 ft msl 22,673 ac 1,057.0 ft msl 13,935 ac 1,036.0 ft msl 6,912 ac 1,073.0 - 1,057.0 289,410 1,057.0 - 1,036.0 208,207 1,036.0 - 965.0 152,051 1,057.0 - 965.0 360,258 26,000 AF for 100 years 4,064 AF (1972 to 1985) | 1,025.4 ft msl 14,584 ac 1,003.0 ft msl 8,522 ac 974.0 ft msl 3,865 ac 1,025.4 - 1,003.0 255,327 1,003.0 - 974.0 176,123 974.0 - 930.0 64,208 1,003.0 - 930.0 240,331 28,000 AF for 100 years 7,045 AF (1963 to 1989) | 948.0 ft msl 10,983 ac 931.0 ft msl 7,413 ac 917.0 ft msl 4,575 ac 948.0 - 931.0 155,799 931.0 - 917.0 83,570 917.0 - 852.5 76,270 931.0 - 852.5 159,840 11,000 AF for 100 years 1,928 AF (1981 to 1993) | 906.2 ft msl 48,053 ac 892.0 ft msl 38,281 ac 867.0 ft msl 24,632 ac 906.2 - 892.0 608,708 892.0 - 867.0 776,066 867.0 - 765.0 874,887 892.0 - 765.0 1,650,953 25,000 AF for 100 years 8,953 AF (1969 to 1987) | 900.2 ft msl 25,456 ac 874.0 ft msl 15,999 ac 839.0 ft msl 7,790 ac 900.2 - 874.0 535,724 874.0 - 839.0 406,821 839.0 - 750.0 237,356 874.0 - 750.0 644,177 13,000 AF for 50 years 4,358 AF (1961 to 1974) | 751.1 ft msl 295,870 ac 739.6 ft msl 209,048 ac 706.02 ft msl (5) 55,406 ac 751.1 - 739.6 2,910,768 739.6 - 706.02 4,005,392 706.02 - 631.0 1,181,640 739.6 - 631.0 5,187,032 244,000 AF for 100 years 22,321 AF (1979 to 1992) | TOTALS 417,619 ac 293,198 ac 103,180 ac 4,755,736 AF 5,656,179 AF 2,586,412 AF 8,242,591 AF |
| OUTLET WORKS Location River Outlet Type Number and Size of Conduit Length of Conduit, feet Entrance Invert Elevation Discharge Capacity, Top of Surcharge Pool Discharge Cap, Top of Flood Control Pool Discharge Cap, Top of Multipurpose Pool Service Gates, Number and Size Emergency Gates, Number and Size Low Flow Gates, Number and Size Provision for Power | Right Abutment Gated Horseshoe Conduit 1 - 11.5' 754 962.0 ft msl 6,700 cfs 6,235 cfs 5,520 cfs 2 - 6'x12' 2 - 6'x12' 2 - 2'x2' None | Right Abutment Gated Horseshoe Conduit 1 - 13.5' 720.5 925.0 ft msl 9,200 cfs 8,170 cfs 6,400 cfs 2 - 6.5'x14' 2 - 6.5'x14' 2 - 2'x2' None | Left Abutment Gated Oblong Conduit 1 - 15.92'x11.67' 685 868.0 ft msl 8,200 cfs 7,400 cfs 6,150 cfs 2 - 5.33'x15.92' 1 - 5.33'x15.92' 2 - 2'x2' None | None 2 - 24" dia 3 - 20'x40' | Right Abutment Gated Tunnel 1 - 14' 560 750.0 ft msl 12,750 cfs 11,500 cfs 9,650 cfs 2 - 6.5'x14' 1 - 6.5'x14' 1 - 24" Butterfly | None 12 - 17'x26.5' | ac = acres AF = acre-feet ft = feet msl = elevation above mean sea level cfs = cubic feet per second kw = kilowatts hp = horsepower |
| POWER FACILITIES Generator Turbine Units, Number Generator Name Plate Capacity, kw Turbine Rating, hp Turbine Type Maximum (Full Pool) Head and Discharge Avg (Power & MP Pool) Head, Discharge Minimum Head and Discharge Reversible Pump Turbines Total Dynamic Head, feet Discharge with 5 Units at Max Head, cfs Maximum Power Required, hp Maximum Drawdown, feet msl | | | | 1 45,200 75,600 (56 ft head) Kaplan (Vertical Shaft) 112 ft (6,300 cfs) 85 ft (7,900 cfs) 62 ft (11,000 cfs) None 845 | | 6 160,000 254,400 Kaplan (Inclined Shaft) 79.2 ft (31,800 cfs) 42.5 ft (65,000 cfs) 41 ft (68,000 cfs) 6 50 27,500 197,000 704 | SUMMARY OF ENGINEERING DATA OSAGE RIVER BASIN PROJECTS U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Kansas City District December 2002 |

| SUBJECT | SMITHVILLE LAKE | LONGVIEW LAKE | BLUE SPRINGS LAKE | RATHBUN LAKE | LONG BRANCH LAKE | REMARKS |
|--|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| GENERAL Location of Dam Stream / River Miles above Mouth Contributing Drainage Area, square miles Approximate Length of Full Reservoir, miles Shoreline, miles (1) Maximum Discharge of Record near Dam Site Date of Closure Date Storage Began Date Multipurpose Level Reached Operating Agency | Near Smithville, MO Little Platte River 13.6 213 18 175 76,600 cfs (July 20, 1965) July 13, 1976 October 19, 1979 June 11, 1982 Corps of Engineers | Kansas City, MO Little Blue River 42.9 50.3 3.5 24 18,700 cfs (August 13, 1982) June 16, 1983 September 16, 1985 September 23, 1986 Corps of Engineers | Kansas City, MO East Fork Little Blue River 28.8 32.8 2.5 12 11,000 cfs (August 13, 1982) August 12, 1986 September 27, 1988 March 18, 1990 Corps of Engineers | Near Rathbun, IA Chariton River 142.3 549 14 155 21,800 cfs (March 31, 1960) September 29, 1967 November 21, 1969 October 10, 1970 Corps of Engineers | Near Macon, MO East Fork Little Chariton River 78 109 9 24.2 30,000 cfs (April 21, 1973) September 3, 1976 August 2, 1978 May 19, 1981 Corps of Engineers | (1) With pool at multipurpose level. (2) Damming height is from original riverbed to top of flood pool. (3) Based on latest available storage data. The revision dates of the current area capacity tables are indicated below with the effective dates in parentheses: Smithville Lake, February 1990 (effective March 1, 1990) Longview Lake, May 1970 (initial) Blue Springs Lake, September 1974 (initial) Rathbun Lake, January 2000 (effective December 1, 2000) Long Branch Lake, January 1989 (effective July 1, 1989) (4) Spillway flood routing at Long Branch Lake revised for Emergency Action Plan, dated 1981. (5) The Rathbun outlet works cannot discharge more than 1,800 cfs without special approval from the Water Mgmt office. Flows above 1,800 cfs result in overtopping of the outlet works stilling basin walls. |
| DAM AND EMBANKMENT Top of Dam Elevation, feet msl Length of Dam, feet (net) Damming Height, feet (2) Type of Fill Fill Quantity, cubic yards | 895.0 4,000 80.2 Rolled Earth 3,200,000 | 926.6 1,900 110 Earth 2,500,000 | 840.0 2,500 70 Earth and Rock 1,200,000 | 946.0 10,600 82 Rolled Earth 4,700,000 | 826.0 3,550 71 Rolled Earth 1,855,000 | |
| SPILLWAY Location Crest Elevation, feet msl Width, feet Number, Size, and Type of Gates Discharge Capacity, Top of Surcharge Pool | Right Abutment 880.2 50 None 4,800 cfs | Left Abutment 911.3 200 None 22,970 cfs | Left Abutment 823.6 300 None 37,800 cfs | Right Abutment 926.0 500 None 45,600 cfs | Right Abutment 809.0 50 None 9,860 cfs (4) | |
| RESERVOIR (3) Surcharge Pool Elevation and Area Flood Control Pool Elevation and Area Multipurpose Pool Elevation and Area Recreation Pool Elevation and Area Surcharge Storage Flood Control Storage Multipurpose Storage Recreation Storage Gross Storage Design Sediment Reserve Storage Measured Sediment Inflow | 891.1 ft msl 14,611 ac 876.2 ft msl 9,990 ac 864.2 ft msl 7,115 ac 891.1 - 876.2 182,198 AF 876.2 - 864.2 101,777 AF 864.2 - 810.0 141,666 AF 876.2 - 810.0 243,443 AF 52,300 AF for 100 years 4,987 AF (1979 to 1993) | 922.9 ft msl 3,207 ac 909.0 ft msl 1,964 ac 891.0 ft msl 927 ac 870.0 ft msl 432 ac 922.9 - 909.0 35,370 AF 909.0 - 891.0 24,810 AF 891.0 - 870.0 13,579 AF 870.0 - 810.0 8,555 AF 909.0 - 810.0 46,944 AF 2,000 AF for 100 years 20 AF/year (estimated) | 837.7 ft msl 1,200 ac 820.3 ft msl 982 ac 802.0 ft msl 722 ac 837.7 - 820.3 19,039 AF 820.3 - 802.0 15,715 AF 802.0 - 760.0 10,842 AF 820.3 - 760.0 26,557 AF 300 AF for 100 years 3 AF/year (estimated) | 940.0 ft msl 31,135 ac 926.0 ft msl 22,452 ac 904.0 ft msl 10,329 ac 940.0 - 926.0 368,859 AF 926.0 - 904.0 349,173 AF 904.0 - 857.0 221,360 AF 926.0 - 857.0 570,533 AF 24,000 AF for 100 years 240 AF/year (estimated) | 821.2 ft msl 6,608 ac (4) 801.0 ft msl 3,663 ac 791.0 ft msl 2,429 ac 821.2 - 801.0 101,880 AF (4) 801.0 - 791.0 30,327 AF 791.0 - 750.0 34,189 AF 801.0 - 750.0 64,516 AF 4,000 AF for 100 years 483 AF (1978 to 1988) | TOTALS 56,761 ac 39,051 ac 21,522 ac 432 ac 707,346 AF 521,802 AF 421,636 AF 8,555 AF 951,993 AF |
| OUTLET WORKS Location River Outlet Type Number and Size of Conduit Length of Conduit, feet Entrance Invert Elevation Drop Inlet Crest Elevation Low Flow Gate Intake Elevation Discharge Cap, Top Flood Control Pool Discharge Cap, Top of Multipurpose Pool Service Gates, Number and Size Emergency Gates, Number and Size Low Flow Gates, Number, Size, Type Low Flow Gates, Number and Size Provision for Power Provision for Water Supply | Right Abutment Rectangular Conduit 1 - 8'x9' 696 805.0 ft msl 3,150 cfs 2,940 cfs 2 - 4.25'x9.25' Slide 2 - 4.25'x9.25' Slide 1 - 2'x2' None 1 - 5.75' Pipe A portion of MP storage contracted to water supply users, pumped from pool. | Left Abutment Concrete Arch 1 - 5.5'x5' 916 816.0 ft msl 891 875 - 861 1,200 cfs 0 (except low flow outlets) 1 - 6'x7' 2 - 24" Knife Valves 2 - 24" Knife Valves None None | Right Abutment Arch Conduit 1 - 3.5'x4.75' 485 768.5 ft msl 802.0 ft msl 791.5 570 cfs 0 (except low flow outlets) 1-4.5'x5' 1-2' Knife Valve 1-2' Knife Valve None None | Right Abutment Horseshoe Conduit 1 - 11' 539 855.0 ft msl 5,160 cfs (5) 4,220 cfs (5) 2 - 6'x12' Slide 2 - 6'x12' Slide 2 - 2' x2' Slide None No pipe outlets, but water supply contracts exist with water district provided by releases to river. | Right Abutment Concrete Arch 1 - 6'x5.5' 450 760.0 ft msl 910 cfs 495 cfs 2 - 24" Slide 1 - 6'x6' 1 - 18" Slide None No pipe outlets, but water supply contracts in effect to use a portion of MP storage, pumped from pool. | ac = acres AF = acre-feet ft = feet msl = elevation above mean sea level cfs = cubic feet per second |
| SUMMARY OF ENGINEERING DATA LOWER MISSOURI RIVER BASIN PROJECTS | | | | | | |
| U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Kansas City District December 2002 | | | | | | |

| SUBJECT | MILFORD LAKE | TUTTLE CREEK LAKE | PERRY LAKE | CLINTON LAKE | REMARKS |
|--|---|---|---|--|---|
| GENERAL Location of Dam Stream / River Miles above Mouth Contributing Drainage Area, square miles Approximate Length of Full Reservoir, miles Shoreline, miles (1) Maximum Discharge of Record near Dam Site Date of Closure Date Storage Began Date Multipurpose Level Reached Operating Agency | Near Junction City, KS Republican River 7.7 17,388 (4) 30 163 171,000 cfs (June 3, 1935) August 24, 1964 January 16, 1967 July 14, 1967 Corps of Engineers | Near Manhattan, KS Big Blue River 10 9,628 50 112 98,000 cfs (June 1951) July 20, 1959 March 7, 1962 April 29, 1963 Corps of Engineers | Near Perry, KS Delaware River 5.3 1,117 20 160 94,600 cfs (June 1951) August 2, 1966 January 15, 1969 June 3, 1970 Corps of Engineers | Near Lawrence, KS Wakanusa River 22.2 367 17 82 24,200 cfs (July 1951) August 23, 1975 November 30, 1977 April 3, 1980 Corps of Engineers | (1) With pool at multipurpose level. (2) Damming height is from the original riverbed to the top of the flood control pool. (3) Based on latest available storage data. The revision dates of the current area - capacity tables are indicated below with the effective dates in parentheses: Milford Lake, March 1982 (effective March 10, 1982) Tuttle Creek Lake, October 2000 (effective February 1, 2001) Perry Lake, May 1990 (effective June 1, 1990) Clinton Lake, December 1991 (effective March 1, 1994) (4) Total drainage area above Milford is 38,621 square miles. The indicated total is the local drainage area below Harlan County Dam. |
| DAM AND EMBANKMENT Top of Dam Elevation, feet msl Length of Dam, feet (net) Damming Height, feet (2) Type of Fill Fill Quantity, cubic yards | 1,213.0 6,300 110.2 Earth 15,000,000 | 1,159.0 7,487 134 Earth, Rock 21,000,000 | 946.0 7,750 95 Earth 8,000,000 | 928.0 9,250 114 Earth 10,423,000 | ac = acres AF = acre-feet ft = feet msl = elevation above mean sea level cfs = cubic feet per second |
| SPILLWAY Location Crest Elevation, feet msl Width, feet Number, Size, and Type of Gates Discharge Capacity, Top of Surge Pool | Right Abutment 1,176.2 1,250 None 560,000 cfs | Left Abutment 1,116.0 1,059 18 - 40'x20' Tainter 579,000 cfs | Left Abutment 922.0 300 None 65,000 cfs | Left Abutment 907.4 500 None 44,200 cfs | |
| RESERVOIR (3) Surcharge Pool Elevation and Area Flood Control Pool Elevation and Area Multipurpose Pool Elevation and Area Surcharge Storage Flood Control Storage Multipurpose Storage Gross Storage Design Sediment Reserve Storage Measured Sediment Inflow | 1,208.2 ft msl 59,886 ac 1,176.2 ft msl 32,979 ac 1,144.4 ft msl 15,709 ac 1,208.2 - 1,176.2 1,442,049 AF 1,176.2 - 1,144.4 756,669 AF 1,144.4 - 1,080.0 388,816 AF 1,176.2 - 1,080.0 1,145,485 AF 160,000 AF for 100 years 47,935 AF (1967 to 1994) | 1,151.4 ft msl 70,030 ac 1,136.0 ft msl 53,050 ac 1,075.0 ft msl 12,617 ac 1,151.4 - 1,136.0 939,272 AF 1,136.0 - 1,075.0 1,870,735 AF 1,075.0 - 1,020.0 280,137 AF 1,136.0 - 1,020.0 2,150,872 AF 240,312 AF for 50 years 216,145 AF (1962 to 2000) | 941.2 ft msl 42,656 ac 920.6 ft msl 25,363 ac 891.5 ft msl 11,146 ac 941.2 - 920.6 692,375 AF 920.6 - 891.5 515,795 AF 891.5 - 835.0 209,513 AF 920.6 - 835.0 725,308 AF 140,000 AF for 100 years 49,057 AF (1969 to 1993) | 921.4 ft msl 18,336 ac 903.4 ft msl 12,890 ac 875.5 ft msl 7,120 ac 921.4 - 903.4 285,809 AF 903.4 - 875.5 268,783 AF 875.5 - 828.0 125,334 AF 903.4 - 828.0 394,117 AF 28,500 AF for 100 years 3,421 AF (1977 to 1991) | TOTALS 190,908 ac 124,282 ac 46,592 ac 3,359,505 AF 3,411,982 AF 1,003,800 AF 4,415,782 AF |
| OUTLET WORKS Location River Outlet Type Number and Size of Conduit Length of Conduit, feet Entrance Invert Elevation Gated Sluice, Number and Size Discharge Cap, Top of Flood Control Pool Discharge Cap, Top of Multipurpose Pool Service Gates, Number and Size Emergency Gates, Number and Size Low Flow Gates, Number and Size Water Supply Gate, Number and Size Provision for Irrigation Provision for Power Provision for Water Supply | Right Abutment Gated Conduit 1 - 21' 615.5 1,080.0 ft msl None 23,100 cfs 18,600 cfs 2 - 10.5'x21' 2 - 10.5'x21' 2 - 2'x2' None None None No structural provisions, but MP pool contracted to State for water supply, provided by releases to river. At this time 33.9% has been placed into service, or 101,650 AF after allowances for sediment. Current in-service storage is 131,744 AF. Remaining 257,072 AF of MP storage is reserved by State. | Right Abutment Gated Conduit 2 - 20' 860 1,003.0 ft msl None 45,900 cfs 31,300 cfs 4 - 10'x20' 1 - 10'x20' 2 - 24" Butterfly Valve None None None No structural provisions, but part of MP pool contracted to State for water supply, provided by releases to river. At this time, 100% has been placed into service, or 50,000 AF after allowances for sediment. Current in-service storage is 114,810 AF. Remaining 165,317 AF of MP storage is reserved by Corps for other MP purposes including water quality releases and navigation flow supplementation. | Near Center of Dam Gated Conduit 1 - 23.5' 592 833.0 ft msl None 27,500 cfs 21,200 cfs 2 - 11.75'x23.5' 2 - 11.75'x23.5' 2 - 2'x2' None None None No structural provisions, but MP pool contracted to State for water supply, provided by releases to river. At this time 16.7% has been placed into service, or 25,000 AF after allowances for sediment. Current in-service storage is 34,919 AF. Remaining 174,594 AF of MP storage is reserved by State. | Left Abutment Gated Conduit 1 - 12.5'x13' Arch 710 828.0 ft msl None 7,570 cfs 5,900 cfs 2 - 6.33'x12.67' 1 - 6.33'x12.67' 1 - 24" Knife Gate Valve 1 - 54"x54" Slide Gate None None 36" Steel Pipe Many water supply contracts with State and individual water districts utilizing the entire allocated water supply of 101,266 AF (89,200 AF after allowances for sediment). Remaining allocation of 24,068 AF (21,200 AF after allowances for sediment) reserved to provide water quality (minimum flow) releases. | |

**SUMMARY OF ENGINEERING DATA
LOWER KANSAS RIVER BASIN PROJECTS**

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
Kansas City District
December 2002

| SUBJECT | BONNY RESERVOIR | SWANSON LAKE | ENDERS RESERVOIR | HUGH BUTLER LAKE | HARRY STRUNK LAKE | KEITH SEBELIUS LAKE (Norton Dam) | HARLAN COUNTY LAKE | LOVEWELL RESERVOIR | REMARKS |
|---|---|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|---|
| GENERAL Location of Dam Stream / River Miles above Mouth Contributing Drainage Area, sq mi Approx Length of Full Resv, miles Shoreline, miles (1) Max. Disch. of Record nr Dam Site Date of Closure Date Storage Began Date Multipurpose Level Reached Operating Agency | Near Hale, CO S. Fk Republican River 60.4 1,435 5.5 15.0 103,000 (May 31, 1935) July 6, 1950 July 6, 1950 March 19, 1954 Bureau of Reclamation | Near Trenten, NE Republican River 359 2,506 below Bonny 9.0 30 200,000 (May 31, 1935) May 4, 1953 May 4, 1953 May 15, 1957 Bureau of Reclamation | Near Enders, NE Frenchman Creek 81.7 786 6.0 26 Insufficient Data October 23, 1950 October 23, 1950 January 29, 1952 Bureau of Reclamation | Near McCook, NE Red Willow Creek 18.7 310 7.5 35 30,000 (June 22, 1947) September 5, 1961 September 5, 1961 May 21, 1967 Bureau of Reclamation | Near Cambridge, NE Medicine Creek 11.9 642 8.5 29 120,000 (June 1947) August 8, 1949 August 8, 1949 April 2, 1951 Bureau of Reclamation | Near Norton, KS Prairie Dog Creek 74.9 688 9.5 32 37,500 (May 28, 1953) January 28, 1964 October 5, 1964 June 21, 1967 Bureau of Reclamation | Nr Republican City, NE Republican River 232.3 7,169 below u/s dams (5) 17 54 260,000 (June 1, 1935) July 22, 1951 November 14, 1952 June 14, 1957 Corps of Engineers | Near Lovewell, KS White Rock Creek 19.3 358 11 44 23,300 (July 10, 1950) May 29, 1957 October 2, 1957 May 20, 1958 Bureau of Reclamation | (1) With pool at MP level. (2) Damming height is from original riverbed to top of flood control pool. (3) Based on latest storage data. Date of current area capacity tables given below with effective date in (.). Bonny, Mar 51 (initial) Swanson, Feb 84 (Jan 84) Enders, May 97 (Jan 1, 99) Butler, May 97 (Jan 1, 99) Strunk, Oct 82 (Feb 1, 83) Sebelius, Sep 00 (Jan 02) Harlan, Jan 01 (Jan 1, 01) Lovewell, Jun 95 (Jan 97) (4) Bartley Div Dam, Rep R. below Red Willow Ck, |
| DAM AND EMBANKMENT Top of Dam Elevation, feet msl Length of Dam, feet (Less Spillway) Damming Height, feet (2) Type of Fill Fill Quantity, cubic yards | 3,742.0 9,141.5 93.0 Earth 8,853,000 | 2,793.0 8,600 80.0 Earth 8,130,000 | 3,137.5 2,242 93.0 Earth 1,950,000 | 2,634.0 3,159 About 85 Earth 3,122,000 | 2,415.0 5,665 86 Earth 2,730,000 | 2,347.0 6,344 85.5 Earth 3,740,000 | 1,982.0 11,830 98.5 Earth 13,400,000 | 1,616.0 8,392 70.3 Earth 3,000,000 | conc ogee weir w/2-10x16 gates to rivr, 2-10'x3' gates to canal, max cap 130 cfs. Franklin pumps on Rep R. blw Harlan Cty, cap 40 cfs. Courtland Div Dam, Rep R |
| SPILLWAY Location Crest Elevation, feet msl Width, feet Number, Size, and Type of Gates Disch. Cap. Top of Surge Pool | Left Abutment 3,710.0 121.5 None (see notes below) 73,300 cfs (with sluice) | Left Abutment 2,743.0 142 3 - 42' x 30' Radial 126,000 cfs | Right Abutment 3,097.0 361 6 - 50' x 30' Radial 202,000 cfs (with notch) | Right Abutment 2,604.9 31.5 (circ morning glory) None 4,910 cfs | Left Abutment 2,386.2 (see also below) 229 None 99,000 cfs (with notch) | Right Abutment 2,296.0 106 3 - 30'x36.35' Radial 96,000 cfs | Center of Dam 1,943.5 856 18 - 40'x30' Radial 480,000 cfs | Right Abutment 1,575.3 53 2 - 25'x20' Radial 35,000 cfs | |
| RESERVOIR (3) Surcharge Pool Elev (ft msl), Area Flood Cntrl Pool Elev (ft msl), Area MP, or Top Cons Pool Elev, Area Inactive Pool Elev (ft msl), Area Dead Stor Pool Elev (ft msl), Area Surcharge Storage, AF Flood Control Storage, AF MP, or Active Conserv Storage, AF Inactive Storage, AF Dead Storage, AF Gross Storage, AF Design Sediment Reserve Storage Measured Sediment Inflow | 3,736.2 8,579 ac 3,710.0 5,036 ac 3,672.0 2,042 ac 3,638.0 331 ac 3,635.5 242 ac 3,736.2 - 3,710 178,230 3,710.0 - 3,672 128,820 3,672.0 - 3,638 39,206 3,638.0 - 3,635.5 716 3,635.5 - 3,617 1,418 3,710.0 - 3,617 170,160 8,000 AF for 50 years 160 AF/year (estimated) | 2,785.0 10,035 ac 2,773.0 7,940 ac 2,752.0 4,922 ac 2,720.0 1,411 ac 2,710.0 488 ac 2,785 - 2,773 107,610 2,773 - 2,752 134,077 2,752 - 2,720 99,784 2,720 - 2,710 10,312 2,710 - 2,701 2,118 2,773 - 2,701 246,291 51,000 AF for 50 years 7,659 AF (1953 to 1982) | 3,129.5 ft msl 2,557 ac 3,127.0 ft msl 2,405 ac 3,112.3 ft msl 1,707 ac 3,082.4 ft msl 627 ac 3,080.0 ft msl 567 ac 3,129.5 - 3,127 6,203 3,127.0 - 3,112.3 30,048 3,112.3 - 3,082.4 33,962 3,082.4 - 3,080 1,432 3,080.0 - 3,050 7,516 3,127.0 - 3,050 72,958 4,000 AF for 100 years 1,572 AF (1950 to 1997) | 2,628.0 ft msl 4,079 ac 2,604.9 ft msl 2,681 ac 2,581.8 ft msl 1,621 ac 2,558.0 ft msl 715 ac 2,552.0 ft msl 536 ac 2,628.0 - 2,604.9 76,829 2,604.9 - 2,581.8 48,846 2,581.8 - 2,558 27,303 2,558.0 - 2,552 3,736 2,552.0 - 2,527 5,185 2,604.9 - 2,527 85,070 10,000 AF for 50 years 1,616AF (1961 to 1997) | 2,408.9 ft msl 5,784 ac 2,386.2 ft msl 3,483 ac 2,366.1 ft msl 1,840 ac 2,343.0 ft msl 701 ac 2,335.0 ft msl 481 ac 2,408.9 - 2,386.2 105,660 2,386.2-2,366.1 52,715 2,366.1 - 2,343 26,846 2,343.0 - 2,335 4,699 2,335.0 - 2,318.5 4,160 2,386.2 - 2,318.5 88,420 15,000 AF for 50 years 4,397 AF (1949 to 1981) | 2,341.0 ft msl 6,713 ac 2,331.4 ft msl 5,316 ac 2,304.3 ft msl 2,181 ac 2,280.4 ft msl 575 ac 2,275.0 ft msl 317 ac 2,341.0 - 2,331.4 58,287 2,331.4 - 2,304.3 99,230 2,304.3 - 2,280.4 30,517 2,280.4 - 2,275 2,357 2,275.0 - 2,262 1,636 2,331.4 - 2,262 133,740 6,000 AF for 50 years 1,617 AF (1964 to 2000) | 1,975.5 ft msl 24,339 ac 1,973.5 ft msl 23,431 ac 1,945.73 msl 13,305 ac 1,932.5 ft msl 9,282 ac 1,885.0 ft msl 0 ac 1,975.5 - 1,973.5 47,767 1,973.5 - 45.73 500,000 1,945.73 - 32.5 150,000 1,932.5 - 1,890 164,111 Sluice crest at 1,885 0 1,973.5 - 1,890 814,111 200,000 AF for 100 yrs 38,548 AF (1952 - 00) | 1,610.3 ft msl 7,635 ac 1,595.3 ft msl 5,024 ac 1,582.6 ft msl 2,987 ac 1,571.7 ft msl 1,495 ac 1,562.07 ft msl 494 ac 1,610.3 - 1,595.3 94,145 1,595.3 - 1,582.6 50,465 1,582.6 - 1,571.7 24,022 1,571.7 - 1,562.07 9,985 1,562.07 - 1,550.0 1,659 1,595.3 - 1,550.0 86,131 8,000 AF for 50 years 6,021 AF (1957 to 1995) | TOTALS 69,721 ac 55,316 ac 30,605 ac 15,137 ac 3,125 ac 674,731 AF 1,044,201 AF 431,640 AF 197,348 AF 23,692 AF 1,696,881 AF |
| OUTLET WORKS Location River Outlet Type Number and Size of Conduit Length of Conduit, feet Entrance Crest Elevation Disch Cap, Top of Flood Cntrl Pool Disch Cap, Top of MP (Consv) Pool Service Gates, Number, Size, Type Provision for Irrigation Provision for Power Provision for Municipal Supply Other Outlet | Left Abutment Gated Conduit 1 - 56" Cond to 26" Pipe 831.5 3,635.5 ft msl 140 cfs (approx) 103 cfs 1 - 24" Hollow Jet Valve 1 - 32" Pipe to 24" Valve Note: Storage owned by CO for F&W, Recreation 1 - 40" Capped Conduit | Left Abutment Gated Conduit 2 - 6' x 7.5' 86.74 2,710.0 ft msl 4,300 cfs 3,500 cfs 2 - 6' x 7.5' Slide Gates 1 - 56" Pipe to 4' Gate None None None | Right Abutment Gated Conduit 1 - 84" Cond to 84" Pipe 516 3,080.0 ft msl 1,430 cfs 1,300 cfs 2-60" Hollow Jet Valves None None None | Right Abutment Gated Conduit 1 - 82" 553.5 2,552.0 ft msl 1,170 cfs 990 cfs 2 - 42" Slide Gates None None None | Right Abutment Gated Conduit 1 - 84" Cond to 44" Pipe 553 2,335.0 ft msl 398 cfs (max elev 2,379) 361 cfs 1 - 39" Slide Gate None None None | Left Abutment Gated Conduit 1 - 48" Cond to 38" Pipe 495 to Gate, 145 to Basin 2,275.0 ft msl 312 cfs 257 cfs 1 - 33" Slide Gate None None 1 - 16" Pipe to 16" Gate None | Center of Dam Gated Sluices 9 - 5'x8' thru Spillway 1,885.0 ft msl 20,700 cfs 17,370 cfs 9 - 5' x 8' Slide Gates 1-5.5'; 1-2.83' Conduits 12'x12' Plug for 9' Cond None Notes: 2001 revision to Water Control Manual allows drawdown to elev 1927 in extreme drought. 1-18" outlet for low flow regulation in mono 20. Franklin Canal conduit to 2-36" gates, cap 520 cfs. Naponee Canal conduit to 1-24" valve, cap 40 cfs. See also note (4) | Right Abutment Spillway gates used for river releases. Gated wasteway with 1-10'x9' radial gate from outlet canal to stilling basin. Wasteway is not used. None 1 - 8'x10' Gated Outlet None None Note: Inflow to lake also provided from gated Courtland Canal outlet. | at Guide Rock, conc ogee w/2-20'x12' gates to river 5-10'x6' gates to Courtland canal (cap 751 cfs), 1-10x6 gate to Superior (cap 139). Other private diversion weirs exist on some creeks like Riverside blw Enders but div capacity minimal. (5) 13,536 sq mi total contributing with u/s dams. ac = acres ft = feet cfs = cubic feet per sec msl = elev abv mean sea lvl |
| SUMMARY OF ENGINEERING DATA REPUBLICAN RIVER BASIN PROJECTS | | | | | | | | | U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Kansas City District December 2002 Plate 2D |

| SUBJECT | WACONDA LAKE | KIRWIN RESERVOIR | WEBSTER RESERVOIR | WILSON LAKE | KANOPOLIS LAKE | CEDAR BLUFF RESERVOIR | REMARKS |
|---|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| GENERAL Location of Dam Stream / River Miles above Mouth Contributing Drainage Area, sq miles Approx Length of Full Reservoir, miles (1) Shoreline, miles (1) Maximum Discharge of Record nr Dam Site Date of Closure Date Storage Began Date Multipurpose Level Reached Operating Agency | Near Glen Elder, KS Solomon River 172.4 2,559 below u/s dams (4) 24 100 125,000 cfs (July 1951) October 18, 1967 July 24, 1968 May 16, 1973 Bureau of Reclamation | Near Kirwin, KS North Fork Solomon River 67.8 1,367 9 37 24,000 cfs (Sep 1919) March 7, 1955 October 5, 1955 July 2, 1957 Bureau of Reclamation | Near Stockton, KS South Fork Solomon River 92.4 1,150 7 27 55,200 cfs (July 1951) May 3, 1956 May 3, 1956 June 18, 1957 Bureau of Reclamation | Near Wilson, KS Saline River 153.9 1,917 24 100 25,700 cfs (Jul-Aug 1928) September 3, 1963 December 29, 1964 March 12, 1973 Corps of Engineers | Near Ellsworth, KS Smoky Hill River 183.7 2,330 blw Cedar Bluff (6) 12 41 61,000 cfs (June 1938) July 26, 1946 February 17, 1948 July 19, 1948 Corps of Engineers | Near Ellis, KS Smoky Hill River 333.4 5,365 9 50 98,000 cfs (May 1938) November 13, 1950 November 13, 1950 June 21, 1951 Bureau of Reclamation | (1) With pool at multipurpose or full conservation level. (2) Damming height is height from original river bed to top of flood control pool. (3) Based on latest available storage data. The dates of the current area - capacity tables are indicated below along with the effective dates in parenthesis: Waconda, July 2001 (effective January 1, 2003) Kirwin, May 1996 (effective January 1, 1998) Webster, May 1996 (effective January 1, 1998) Wilson, December 1984 (effective January 1, 1985) Kanopolis, February 1983 (effective March 1, 1983) Cedar Bluff, March 2001 (effective January 1, 2002) |
| DAM AND EMBANKMENT Top of Dam Elevation, feet msl Length of Dam, feet (Less Spillway) Damming Height, feet (2) Type of Fill Fill Quantity, cubic yards | 1,500.0 14,631 107.9 Earth 8,050,000 | 1,779.0 12,246 95 Earth 9,537,000 | 1,944.0 10,604 84.7 Earth 8,145,000 | 1,592.0 5,600 114 Earth 8,500,000 | 1,537.0 15,360 102 Earth 15,200,000 | 2,198.0 12,409.5 102 Earth 8,490,000 | (4) Total DA with Kirwin and Webster = 5,076 sq miles (5) 7' conduit from intake tower to gate chamber. 4'x5' emergency gate to 60" pipe. Entrance to stilling well controlled by 4'x5' slide gate. From stilling well, 42" river outlet pipe controlled by 36" gate. River outlet capacity at top of MP pool and flood control pool about 220 cfs. Length of combined pipes from intake to stilling well about 500'. About 200' more to stilling basin. Canal releases from two openings at top of stilling well. Canal capacity is about 175 cfs, but combined capacity with river outlet about 395 cfs. (6) Total contrib. DA with Cedar Bluff = 7,695 sq miles |
| SPILLWAY Location Crest Elevation, feet msl Width, feet Number, Size, and Type of Gates Discharge Capacity at Top of Surge Pool | Right Abutment 1,467.4 644 12 - 50'x21.76' Radial 278,000 cfs | Right Abutment 1,757.3 400 (uncontrolled) None, but see note below 96,000 cfs (sluices closed) | Left Abutment 1,884.6 116 3 - 33.33'x39.51' Radial 138,000 cfs | Right Abutment 1,582.0 450 (uncontrolled) None 15,700 cfs | Right Abutment 1,507.0 500 (uncontrolled) None 172,000 cfs | Right Abutment 2,166.0 150.5 (uncontrolled length) Gated orifice, see note blw 84,000 cfs (with orifice) | |
| RESERVOIR (3) Surcharge Pool Elevation (ft msl), Area Flood Control Pool Elevation (ft msl), Area Multipurpose, or Top Cons Pool Elev, Area Inactive Pool Elevation (ft msl), Area Dead Storage Pool Elevation (ft msl), Area Surcharge Storage, AF Flood Control Storage, AF MP, or Active Conservation Storage, AF Inactive Storage, AF Dead Storage, AF Gross Storage, AF Design Sediment Reserve Storage Measured Sediment Inflow | 1,492.9 ft msl 38,178 ac 1,488.3 ft msl 33,682 ac 1,455.6 ft msl 12,602 ac 1,428.0 ft msl 3,020 ac 1,407.8 ft msl 248 ac 1,492.9 - 1,488.3 203,798 1,488.3 - 1,455.6 722,988 1,455.6 - 1,428.0 193,183 1,428.0 - 1,407.8 25,989 1,407.8 - 1,395.0 248 1,488.3 - 1,395.0 942,408 23,750 AF for 50 years 22,597 AF (1968 to 2001) | 1,773.0 ft msl 14,660 ac 1,757.3 ft msl 10,639 ac 1,729.25 ft msl 5,071 ac 1,697.0 ft msl 1,006 ac 1,693.0 ft msl 765 ac 1,773.0 - 1,757.3 198,467 1,757.3 - 1,729.25 215,136 1,729.25 - 1,697.0 89,639 1,697.0 - 1,693.0 3,546 1,693.0 - 1,680.0 4,969 1,757.3 - 1,680.0 313,290 14,950 AF for 100 years 1,278 AF (1955 to 1996) | 1,938.0 ft msl 11,270 ac 1,923.7 ft msl 8,478 ac 1,892.45 ft msl 3,767 ac 1,860.0 ft msl 904 ac 1,855.5 ft msl 440 ac 1,938.0 - 1,923.7 140,912 1,923.7 - 1,892.45 183,353 1,892.45-1,860.0 71,926 1,860.0 - 1,855.5 2,975 1,855.5 - 1,849.0 1,256 1,923.7 - 1,849.0 259,510 18,600 AF for 100 years 1,267 AF (1956 to 1996) | 1,587.5 ft msl 33,882 ac 1,554.0 ft msl 20,027 ac 1,516.0 ft msl 9,045 ac 1,587.5 - 1,554.0 894,263 1,554.0 - 1,516.0 530,204 1,516.0 - 1,435.0 242,528 1,554.0 - 1,435.0 772,732 40,000 AF for 100 years 15,066 AF (1964 to 1995) | 1,531.8 ft msl 23,408 ac 1,508.0 ft msl 13,958 ac 1,463.0 ft msl 3,406 ac 1,531.8 - 1,508.0 438,655 1,508.0 - 1,463.0 369,278 1,463.0 - 1,430.0 49,474 1,508.0 - 1,430.0 418,752 51,500 AF for 50 years 28,704 AF (1948 to 1993) | 2,192.0 ft msl 16,510 ac 2,166.0 ft msl 10,790 ac 2,144.0 ft msl 6,869 ac 2,107.8 ft msl 1,907 ac 2,090.0 ft msl 755 ac 2,192.0 - 2,166.0 353,250 2,166.0 - 2,144.0 191,890 2,144.0 - 2,107.8 143,878 2,107.8 - 2,090.0 24,172 2,090.0 - 2,078.0 4,402 2,166.0 - 2,078.0 364,342 26,000 AF for 100 years 13,044 AF (1950 to 2000) | TOTALS 137,908 ac 97,574 ac 40,760 ac 2,229,345 AF 2,212,849 AF 790,628 AF 56,682 AF 10,875 AF 3,071,034 AF (7) In addition to the gated conduit, Kanopolis has an uncontrolled port opening 3.5'x13.75' in the 10' pier separating the two service gate openings. Crest elevation of the port is 1,463 ft msl. The max discharges given for the outlet is the combined total of the port and gates. (8) River outlet crest elev is 2,090 ft msl. Crest elev of sluices under spillway is 2,134.82 ft msl. River outlet capacity at MP is 804 cfs, at top of flood pool is 909 cfs. Cedar Bluff also has an irrig canal outlet on Y junction from river outlet, 5.5' pipe to control house, canal flow controlled by 4'x5' gate (not used since 1978, irrigation district disbanded in 1994). Also a hatchery supply line from 18" valve on canal outlet, capacity 10 cfs. Lake storage owned by KS, for benefit of recreation and F&W. All releases coordinated with Kansas KDWP. (9) 2,000 AF annual storage supply contract for Russell. |
| OUTLET WORKS Location River Outlet Type Number and Size of Conduit Length of Conduit, feet Entrance Crest Elevation Gated Sluice, Number and Size Discharge Cap, Top of Flood Control Pool Disch Cap, Top of MP (Conservation) Pool Service Gates, Number, Size, Type Emergency Gates, Number and Size Low Flow Gates, Number and Size Provision for Irrigation Provision for Power Provision for Municipal Supply | Left Abutment Gated Conduit 1 - 12.5' 575 1,407.8 ft msl None 5,200 cfs 4,000 cfs 2 - 6.5'x8' Slide Gates 1 - 9'x12' Slide Gates None None None Supplied thru river releases. City of Beloit has contracted for up to 2,000 AF of annual storage releases. Mitchell County Rural Water District No. 2 has contracted for up to 1,009 AF of annual storage releases. | Center of Dam Gated Conduit 7' Cond to 60" pipe (5) (5) 1,693 ft msl See note below 220 cfs (5) 220 cfs (5) 1 - 4'x5' to stilling well (5) 1 - 4'x5' (5) None 2 - 5.5'x8' openings (5) None None None Note: 15 - 5' x 5' gated sluices located in concrete ogee section below spillway crest. Crest elevation at sluice entrance = 1,720.0. Discharge capacity at top of conserv pool = 4,800 cfs, top, flood pool = 15,350 cfs. | Right Abutment Gated Conduit 4.5' Conduit to 48" pipe 538 1,855.5 ft msl None 480 cfs 385 cfs 1 - 3.5'x3.5' Slide Gate 1 - 3.5'x3.5' Slide Gate None None None None Note: When reservoir elevation is below 1,860, the outlet gate openings must be reduced to prevent air entrainment in conduit. | Right Abutment Gated Conduit 1 - 12' 1,097 1,450.0 ft msl None 6,500 cfs 5,300 cfs 2 - 6'x12' Service Gates 2 - 6'x12' Slide Gates 2 - 2'x2' Slide Gates None None None Note: Low flow gates are mounted in the service gates. Low flow gates are used for river releases up to 200 cfs. | Right Abutment Gated Conduit (7) 1 - 14' 2,443 1,415.0 ft msl None 6,400 cfs (7) 4,500 cfs (7) 2 - 6'x12' 1 - 6'x12' None None Provision for future steel penstock in outlet tunnel for power. In 2002, 12,500 AF of MP storage reallocated to water supply, contracted to State of Kansas. State sub-leased portion of space to Post Rock Irrigation District which already has a pump outlet near intake tower. | Left Abutment Gated Conduit to River 1 - 5.5' 863.5 2,090.0 ft msl 8 - 5'x5', gated (8) 3,520 cfs (outlet, sluices) (8) 7,949 cfs (outlet, sluices) (8) 1 - 4'x5' 1 - 4'x5' None 1 - 4'x5' (8) None See (9), supplied by release to river, pump to Big Ck. Note: Spillway also has a gated orifice section at center with 1 - 14.5' x 9.58' radial gate, crest elev 2,144. Spillway cap includes ogee and orifice. Sluices located in ogee section below crest. | |
| Abbreviations ac = acres AF = acre-feet ft = feet msl = elevation above mean sea level cfs = cubic feet per second MP = multipurpose pool elevation | | | | | | | SUMMARY OF ENGINEERING DATA SMOKY HILL RIVER BASIN PROJECTS U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Kansas City District December 2002 Plate 2E |

**APPENDIX A
CORPS OF ENGINEERS PROJECTS**

BLUE SPRINGS LAKE

CLINTON LAKE

HARLAN COUNTY LAKE

HARRY S. TRUMAN RESERVOIR

HILLSDALE LAKE

KANOPOLIS LAKE

LONG BRANCH LAKE

LONGVIEW LAKE

MELVERN LAKE

MILFORD LAKE

PERRY LAKE

POMME DE TERRE LAKE

POMONA LAKE

RATHBUN LAKE

SMITHVILLE LAKE

STOCKTON LAKE


TUTTLE CREEK LAKE

WILSON LAKE

BLUE SPRINGS LAKE


2002 - 2003 REGULATION

A 10-YEAR POOL ELEVATION HYDROGRAPH IS SHOWN BELOW WITH THE CURRENT REPORTING PERIOD EXPANDED FOR READING EASE.




| Pool Elevation, ft. msl. | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Starting Period | Ending Period | Period Maximum | Period Minimum | Historic Maximum | Historic Minimum |
| 801.79 1 Aug 02 | 801.97 31 Jul 03 | 803.82 11 May 03 | 800.92 22 Jan 03 | 816.37 16-17 May 90 | 800.10 14-15 Dec 99 |
| Report Period Inflow and Outflow | | | | | |
| Maximum Daily Inflow Day Second Feet | Period Total Inflow Acre Feet | Maximum Daily Outflow Day Second Feet | Minimum Daily Outflow Day Second Feet | | |
| 320 11 May 03 | 8,356 | 186 12 May 2003 | 0 Many days | | |
| All releases are to the river. No minimum release requirement. | | | | | |

BLUE SPRINGS LAKE MONTHLY INFLOW




BLUE SPRINGS LAKE ANNUAL INFLOW



CLINTON LAKE


2002 - 2003 REGULATION

A 10-YEAR POOL ELEVATION HYDROGRAPH IS SHOWN BELOW
WITH THE CURRENT REPORTING PERIOD EXPANDED FOR READING EASE.




| Pool Elevation, ft. msl. | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|--|--|----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Starting Period | Ending Period | Period Maximum | Period Minimum | Historic Maximum | Historic Minimum |
| 874.51 1 Aug 02 | 875.41 31 Jul 03 | 876.31 30 Jun 03 | 871.90 15 Apr 03 | 892.48 29 May 95 | 871.60 18-19 Aug 89 |
| Report Period Inflow and Outflow | | | | | |
| Maximum Daily Inflow Day Second Feet | Period Total Inflow Acre Feet | Maximum Daily Outflow Day Second Feet | Minimum Daily Outflow Day Second Feet | | |
| 2,800 20 Apr 03 | 47,699 | 100 14 Apr 03 | 3 31 Jul 03 | | |
| Outflows are those to river only. Minimum release is 7 to 21 cfs. Releases cut to 0 for short maintenance periods. | | | | | |

CLINTON LAKE MONTHLY INFLOW




CLINTON LAKE ANNUAL INFLOW



HARLAN COUNTY LAKE


2002 - 2003 REGULATION

A 10-YEAR POOL ELEVATION HYDROGRAPH IS SHOWN BELOW WITH THE CURRENT REPORTING PERIOD EXPANDED FOR READING EASE.




| Pool Elevation, ft. msl. | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Starting Period | Ending Period | Period Maximum | Period Minimum | Historic Maximum | Historic Minimum |
| 1935.56 1 Aug 02 | 1930.04 31 Jul 03 | 1935.56 1 Aug 02 | 1930.04 31 Jul 03 | 1955.66 5 Apr 60 | 1928.21 27-28 Oct 91 |
| Report Period Inflow and Outflow | | | | | |
| Maximum Daily Inflow Day Second Feet | Period Total Inflow Acre Feet | Maximum Daily Outflow Day Second Feet | Minimum Daily Outflow Day Second Feet | | |
| 750 23 Oct 02 | 43,445 | 650 4 Aug 02 | 0, Many days | | |
| Max daily outflow to river occurred as part of normal releases for irrigation. Max release w/2 canals was 1,012 cfs. | | | | | |

HARLAN COUNTY LAKE MONTHLY INFLOW




HARLAN COUNTY LAKE ANNUAL INFLOW



HARRY S. TRUMAN RESERVOIR


2002 - 2003 REGULATION

A 10-YEAR POOL ELEVATION HYDROGRAPH IS SHOWN BELOW WITH THE CURRENT REPORTING PERIOD EXPANDED FOR READING EASE.




| Pool Elevation, ft. msl. | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|--|--|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Starting Period | Ending Period | Period Maximum | Period Minimum | Historic Maximum | Historic Minimum |
| 705.78 1 Aug 02 | 706.83 31 Jul 03 | 708.71 08 May 03 | 704.99 11 Sep 02 | 738.72 12 Oct 86 | 703.42 10 Apr 81 |
| Report Period Inflow and Outflow | | | | | |
| Max Daily Inflow Day Second Feet | Period Total Inflow Acre Feet | Maximum Daily Outflow Day Second Feet | Minimum Daily Outflow Day Second Feet | | |
| 18,500 25 Apr 03 | 1,573,477 | 21,296 12 May 03 | 0 Many days | | |
| Listed outflows include turbine releases and spill to the river. Minimum release varies during the year 0 to 3,500 cfs. | | | | | |

HARRY S. TRUMAN RESERVOIR MONTHLY INFLOW




HARRY S. TRUMAN RESERVOIR ANNUAL INFLOW



HILLSDALE LAKE


2002 - 2003 REGULATION

A 10-YEAR POOL ELEVATION HYDROGRAPH IS SHOWN BELOW WITH THE CURRENT REPORTING PERIOD EXPANDED FOR READING EASE.




| Pool Elevation, ft. msl. | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|--|--|----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Starting Period | Ending Period | Period Maximum | Period Minimum | Historic Maximum | Historic Minimum |
| 916.06 1 Aug 02 | 913.45 31 Jul 03 | 916.06 1 Aug 02 | 912.87 18 Apr 03 | 928.51 21 Oct 86 | 904.97 14-15 Nov 87 |
| Report Period Inflow and Outflow | | | | | |
| Maximum Daily Inflow Day Second Feet | Period Total Inflow Acre Feet | Maximum Daily Outflow Day Second Feet | Minimum Daily Outflow Day Second Feet | | |
| 760 20 Apr 03 | 13,435 | 24 Many days | 3 Many days | | |
| Listed outflows are to river. Minimum required release is 3-24 cfs. Releases cut to 0 for short maintenance periods. | | | | | |


HILLSDALE LAKE MONTHLY INFLOW




HILLSDALE LAKE ANNUAL INFLOW



KANOPOLIS LAKE MONTHLY INFLOW




KANOPOLIS LAKE ANNUAL INFLOW




LONG BRANCH LAKE 2002 - 2003 REGULATION

A 10-YEAR POOL ELEVATION HYDROGRAPH IS SHOWN BELOW
WITH THE CURRENT REPORTING PERIOD EXPANDED FOR READING EASE.




| Pool Elevation, ft. msl. | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Starting Period | Ending Period | Period Maximum | Period Minimum | Historic Maximum | Historic Minimum |
| 790.36 1 Aug 02 | 786.88 31 Jul 03 | 790.36 1 Aug 02 | 785.30 16 Apr 03 | 802.74 13 May 02 | 783.70 12 Jan 01 |
| Report Period Inflow and Outflow | | | | | |
| Maximum Daily Inflow Day Second Feet | Period Total Inflow Acre Feet | Maximum Daily Outflow Day Second Feet | Minimum Daily Outflow Day Second Feet | | |
| 600 6 May 03 | 9,926 | 50 Many days | 7 Many days | | |
| Listed outflows are total to the river from the gates and the uncontrolled notch. Minimum required release is 7 cfs. | | | | | |

LONG BRANCH LAKE MONTHLY INFLOW




LONG BRANCH LAKE ANNUAL INFLOW



LONGVIEW LAKE


2002 - 2003 REGULATION

A 10-YEAR POOL ELEVATION HYDROGRAPH IS SHOWN BELOW
WITH THE CURRENT REPORTING PERIOD EXPANDED FOR READING EASE.




| Pool Elevation, ft. msl. | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Starting Period | Ending Period | Period Maximum | Period Minimum | Historic Maximum | Historic Minimum |
| 890.81 1 Aug 02 | 890.45 31 Jul 03 | 892.30 11 May 03 | 889.94 27 Jan 03 | 903.37 16 May 90 | 888.08 14 Sep 88 |
| Report Period Inflow and Outflow | | | | | |
| Maximum Daily Inflow Day Second Feet | Period Total Inflow Acre Feet | Maximum Daily Outflow Day Second Feet | Minimum Daily Outflow Day Second Feet | | |
| 290 9 May 03 | 10,747 | 176 11 May 03 | 8 Many days | | |
| Listed outflows are total to the river from the gate and the uncontrolled notch. Minimum required release is 8 cfs. | | | | | |


LONGVIEW LAKE MONTHLY INFLOW




LONGVIEW LAKE ANNUAL INFLOW



MELVERN LAKE MONTHLY INFLOW




MELVERN LAKE ANNUAL INFLOW



MILFORD LAKE


2002 - 2003 REGULATION

A 10-YEAR POOL ELEVATION HYDROGRAPH IS SHOWN BELOW WITH THE CURRENT REPORTING PERIOD EXPANDED FOR READING EASE.




| Pool Elevation, ft. msl. | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|--|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Starting Period | Ending Period | Period Maximum | Period Minimum | Historic Maximum | Historic Minimum |
| 1140.59 1 Aug 02 | 1144.87 31 Jul 03 | 1144.94 22 Jul 03 | 1136.89 13 Jan 03 | 1181.94 25 Jul 93 | 1136.89 13 Jan 03 |
| Report Period Inflow and Outflow | | | | | |
| Maximum Daily Inflow Day Second Feet | Period Total Inflow Acre Feet | Maximum Daily Outflow Day Second Feet | Minimum Daily Outflow Day Second Feet | | |
| 8,000 26 Jun 03 | 169,775 | 400 1 Aug 02 | 6 19 Jun 03 | | |
| All outflows are to the river. Minimum required release is 25 cfs. Releases cut to 0 for short maintenance periods. | | | | | |


MILFORD LAKE MONTHLY INFLOW




MILFORD LAKE ANNUAL INFLOW



PERRY LAKE MONTHLY INFLOW




PERRY LAKE ANNUAL INFLOW



POMME DE TERRE LAKE


2002 - 2003 REGULATION

A 10-YEAR POOL ELEVATION HYDROGRAPH IS SHOWN BELOW WITH THE CURRENT REPORTING PERIOD EXPANDED FOR READING EASE.




| Pool Elevation, ft. msl. | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Starting Period | Ending Period | Period Maximum | Period Minimum | Historic Maximum | Historic Minimum |
| 839.90 1 Aug 02 | 839.88 31 Jul 03 | 843.14 7 May 03 | 837.04 13 Feb 03 | 864.58 27 Sep 93 | 835.61 3 Mar 64 |
| Report Period Inflow and Outflow | | | | | |
| Maximum Daily Inflow Day Second Feet | Period Total Inflow Acre Feet | Maximum Daily Outflow Day Second Feet | Minimum Daily Outflow Day Second Feet | | |
| 4,200 5 May 03 | 160,139 | 2,000 9 May 03 | 50 Many days | | |
| All outflows are to the river. Minimum required release is 50 to 100 cfs, varying by season and pool level. | | | | | |


POMME DE TERRE LAKE MONTHLY INFLOW




POMME DE TERRE LAKE ANNUAL INFLOW



POMONA LAKE MONTHLY INFLOW




POMOMA LAKE ANNUAL INFLOW



RATHBUN LAKE


2002 - 2003 REGULATION

A 10-YEAR POOL ELEVATION HYDROGRAPH IS SHOWN BELOW
WITH THE CURRENT REPORTING PERIOD EXPANDED FOR READING EASE.




| Pool Elevation, ft. msl. | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Starting Period | Ending Period | Period Maximum | Period Minimum | Historic Maximum | Historic Minimum |
| 903.85 1 Aug 02 | 903.28 31 Jul 03 | 903.85 1 Aug 02 | 901.39 19 Apr 03 | 927.16 28 Jul 93 | 898.38 26-27 Jan 95 |
| Report Period Inflow and Outflow | | | | | |
| Maximum Daily Inflow Day Second Feet | Period Total Inflow Acre Feet | Maximum Daily Outflow Day Second Feet | Minimum Daily Outflow Day Second Feet | | |
| 2,000 5 May 03 | 36,900 | 22 Many days | 18 Many days | | |
| All outflows to the river. Outlets include a fish hatchery pipe and service gate. Minimum release varies 15-30 cfs. | | | | | |

RATHBUN LAKE MONTHLY INFLOW




RATHBUN LAKE ANNUAL INFLOW



SMITHVILLE LAKE


2002 - 2003 REGULATION

A 10-YEAR POOL ELEVATION HYDROGRAPH IS SHOWN BELOW
WITH THE CURRENT REPORTING PERIOD EXPANDED FOR READING EASE.




| Pool Elevation, ft. msl. | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|--|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Starting Period | Ending Period | Period Maximum | Period Minimum | Historic Maximum | Historic Minimum |
| 864.19 1 Aug 02 | 862.91 31 Jul 03 | 864.19 1 Aug 02 | 862.40 10 Apr 03 | 874.31 27-28 Jul 93 | 858.86 19 Jan 93 |
| Report Period Inflow and Outflow | | | | | |
| Maximum Daily Inflow Day Second Feet | Period Total Inflow Acre Feet | Maximum Daily Outflow Day Second Feet | Minimum Daily Outflow Day Second Feet | | |
| 630 20 Apr 03 | 19,400 | 8 Many days | 8 Many days | | |
| Listed outflows are to river. Min required release is 8 cfs. Releases cut to 0 during flooding and for maintenance. | | | | | |

SMITHVILLE LAKE MONTHLY INFLOW




SMITHVILLE LAKE ANNUAL INFLOW



STOCKTON LAKE


2002 - 2003 REGULATION

A 10-YEAR POOL ELEVATION HYDROGRAPH IS SHOWN BELOW WITH THE CURRENT REPORTING PERIOD EXPANDED FOR READING EASE.




| Pool Elevation, ft. msl. | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Starting Period | Ending Period | Period Maximum | Period Minimum | Historic Maximum | Historic Minimum |
| 868.87 1 Aug 02 | 865.65 31 Jul 03 | 869.90 17 Jun 03 | 862.17 27 Jan 03 | 885.94 28 Apr 73 | 851.86 2 Feb 77 |
| Report Period Inflow and Outflow | | | | | |
| Maximum Daily Inflow Day Second Feet | Period Total Inflow Acre Feet | Maximum Daily Outflow Day Second Feet | Minimum Daily Outflow Day Second Feet | | |
| 4,300 7 Apr 03 | 301,815 | 2,535 1 Aug 02 | 30 3 Feb 03 | | |
| Listed outflows include turbine releases and spill to the river. 40 cfs spill required when not generating. | | | | | |

STOCKTON LAKE MONTHLY INFLOW




STOCKTON LAKE ANNUAL INFLOW



TUTTLE CREEK LAKE


2002 - 2003 REGULATION

A 10-YEAR POOL ELEVATION HYDROGRAPH IS SHOWN BELOW WITH THE CURRENT REPORTING PERIOD EXPANDED FOR READING EASE.




| Pool Elevation, ft. msl. | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Starting Period | Ending Period | Period Maximum | Period Minimum | Historic Maximum | Historic Minimum |
| 1071.05 1 Aug 02 | 1080.12 31 Jul 03 | 1086.37 2 Jul 03 | 1068.68 27 Jan 03 | 1137.77 22 Jul 93 | 1060.82 4 Jan 67 |
| Report Period Inflow and Outflow | | | | | |
| Maximum Daily Inflow Day Second Feet | Period Total Inflow Acre Feet | Maximum Daily Outflow Day Second Feet | Minimum Daily Outflow Day Second Feet | | |
| 24,000 26 Jun 03 | 673,081 | 2,833 28 Jun 03 | 100 Many days | | |
| All outflows are to the river. Minimum release is 50 to 100 cfs. Releases cut to 0 for short maintenance periods. | | | | | |

TUTTLE CREEK LAKE MONTHLY INFLOW




TUTTLE CREEK LAKE ANNUAL INFLOW



WILSON LAKE


2002 - 2003 REGULATION

A 10-YEAR POOL ELEVATION HYDROGRAPH IS SHOWN BELOW
WITH THE CURRENT REPORTING PERIOD EXPANDED FOR READING EASE.




| Pool Elevation, ft. msl. | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|--|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Starting Period | Ending Period | Period Maximum | Period Minimum | Historic Maximum | Historic Minimum |
| 1515.30 1 Aug 02 | 1514.09 31 Jul 03 | 1515.30 1 Aug 02 | 1514.09 31 Jul 03 | 1548.27 13 Aug 93 | 1509.62 27 May 92 |
| Report Period Inflow and Outflow | | | | | |
| Maximum Daily Inflow Day Second Feet | Period Total Inflow Acre Feet | Maximum Daily Outflow Day Second Feet | Minimum Daily Outflow Day Second Feet | | |
| 600 4 Oct 02 | 32,068 | 15 Many days | 5 Many days | | |
| All outflows are to the river. Minimum required release of 5-15 cfs varies seasonally | | | | | |

WILSON LAKE MONTHLY INFLOW



WILSON LAKE ANNUAL INFLOW



APPENDIX B
BUREAU OF RECLAMATION PROJECTS

BONNY RESERVOIR

CEDAR BLUFF RESERVOIR

ENDERS RESERVOIR

HARRY STRUNK LAKE
(Medicine Creek Dam)

HUGH BUTLER LAKE
(Red Willow Dam)

KEITH SEBELIUS LAKE
(Norton Dam)

KIRWIN RESERVOIR

LOVEWELL RESERVOIR

SWANSON LAKE
(Trenton Dam)


WACONDA LAKE
(Glen Elder Dam)

WEBSTER RESERVOIR

BONNY RESERVOIR

2002 - 2003 REGULATION

A 10-YEAR POOL ELEVATION HYDROGRAPH IS SHOWN BELOW WITH THE CURRENT REPORTING PERIOD EXPANDED FOR READING EASE.




| Pool Elevation, ft. msl. | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Starting Period | Ending Period | Period Maximum | Period Minimum | Historic Maximum | Historic Minimum |
| 3660.53 1 Aug 02 | 3659.38 31 Jul 03 | 3660.53 1 Aug 02 | 3658.63 2 Jan 03 | 3678.10 17 May 57 | 3658.63 2 Jan 03 |
| Report Period Inflow and Outflow | | | | | |
| Maximum Daily Inflow Day Second Feet | Period Total Inflow Acre Feet | Maximum Daily Outflow Day Second Feet | Minimum Daily Outflow Day Second Feet | | |
| 100 29 Aug 03 | 8,950 | 7 Many days | 6 Most days | | |
| Max daily outflow is river release only. Max release with canal was 26 cfs on 16-18 Jun 01. Min release is 5 cfs. | | | | | |

CEDAR BLUFF RESERVOIR

2000 - 2001 REGULATION

A 10-YEAR POOL ELEVATION HYDROGRAPH IS SHOWN BELOW
WITH THE CURRENT REPORTING PERIOD EXPANDED FOR READING EASE.




| Pool Elevation, ft. msl. | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|--|--|--|-------------------------------|
| Starting Period | Ending Period | Period Maximum | Period Minimum | Historic Maximum | Historic Minimum |
| 2141.91 1 Aug 02 | 2139.04 31 Jul 03 | 2141.91 1 Aug 02 | 2139.04 31 Jul 03 | 2154.90, 2 Jul 51 4-5 Jul 57 | 2091.78 9-19 Nov 92 |
| Report Period Inflow and Outflow | | | | | |
| Maximum Daily Inflow Day Second Feet | Period Total Inflow Acre Feet | Maximum Daily Outflow Day Second Feet | Minimum Daily Outflow Day Second Feet | | |
| 330 19 Mar 03 | 11,020 | 50 2 Aug 02 | 0 Most days | | |
| Max daily outflow is river release only. No required min release. No canal releases. Minor releases to fish hatchery. | | | | | |

ENDERS RESERVOIR

2002 - 2003 REGULATION

A 10-YEAR POOL ELEVATION HYDROGRAPH IS SHOWN BELOW WITH THE CURRENT REPORTING PERIOD EXPANDED FOR READING EASE.




| Pool Elevation, ft. msl. | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Starting Period | Ending Period | Period Maximum | Period Minimum | Historic Maximum | Historic Minimum |
| 3084.60 1 Aug 02 | 3086.72 31 Jul 03 | 3089.09 19 May 03 | 3084.34 21 Aug 02 | 3118.20 25 Mar 60 | 3080.67 28 Aug 78 |
| Report Period Inflow and Outflow | | | | | |
| Maximum Daily Inflow Day Second Feet | Period Total Inflow Acre Feet | Maximum Daily Outflow Day Second Feet | Minimum Daily Outflow Day Second Feet | | |
| 70 19 Mar 03 | 7,340 | 40 13 Jul 03 | 1 Most days | | |
| Max daily outflow occurred as part of normal irrigation releases. All releases to the river. No required min release. | | | | | |

HARRY STRUNK LAKE

2002 - 2003 REGULATION

A 10-YEAR POOL ELEVATION HYDROGRAPH IS SHOWN BELOW WITH THE CURRENT REPORTING PERIOD EXPANDED FOR READING EASE.




| Pool Elevation, ft. msl. | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Starting Period | Ending Period | Period Maximum | Period Minimum | Historic Maximum | Historic Minimum |
| 2354.16 1 Aug 02 | 2358.36 31 Jul 03 | 2365.28 29 Jun 03 | 2347.24 21 Aug 02 | 2374.10 23 Mar 60 | 2340.42 8 Sep 78 |
| Report Period Inflow and Outflow | | | | | |
| Maximum Daily Inflow Day Second Feet | Period Total Inflow Acre Feet | Maximum Daily Outflow Day Second Feet | Minimum Daily Outflow Day Second Feet | | |
| 300 26 Jun 03 | 31,030 | 267 1 Aug 02 | 1 Most days | | |
| Max daily outflow occurred as part of normal irrigation releases. All releases to the river. No required min release. | | | | | |

HUGH BUTLER LAKE

2002 - 2003 REGULATION

A 10-YEAR POOL ELEVATION HYDROGRAPH IS SHOWN BELOW WITH THE CURRENT REPORTING PERIOD EXPANDED FOR READING EASE.




| Pool Elevation, ft. msl. | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|--|--|----------------------|---------------------|
| Starting Period | Ending Period | Period Maximum | Period Minimum | Historic Maximum | Historic Minimum |
| 2560.82 1 Aug 02 | 2566.79 31 Jul 03 | 2567.47 30 Jun 03 | 2560.72 8 Aug 02 | 2584.11 16 Jul 67 | 2560.72 8 Aug 02 |
| Report Period Inflow and Outflow | | | | | |
| Maximum Daily Inflow Day Second Feet | Period Total Inflow Acre Feet | Maximum Daily Outflow Day Second Feet | Minimum Daily Outflow Day Second Feet | | |
| 200 12 Jun 03 | 12,020 | 4 All days | 4 All days | | |
| Max daily outflow occurred as part of normal irrigation releases. All releases to the river. No required min release. | | | | | |

KEITH SEBELIUS LAKE

2002 - 2003 REGULATION

A 10-YEAR POOL ELEVATION HYDROGRAPH IS SHOWN BELOW WITH THE CURRENT REPORTING PERIOD EXPANDED FOR READING EASE.




| Pool Elevation, ft. msl. | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|--|--|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Starting Period | Ending Period | Period Maximum | Period Minimum | Historic Maximum | Historic Minimum |
| 2293.45 1 Aug 02 | 2289.67 31 Jul 03 | 2293.45 1 Aug 02 | 2289.67 31 Jul 03 | 2306.47 15 Feb to 4 Mar 97 | 2275.82 1 Feb 82 |
| Report Period Inflow and Outflow | | | | | |
| Maximum Daily Inflow Day Second Feet | Period Total Inflow Acre Feet | Maximum Daily Outflow Day Second Feet | Minimum Daily Outflow Day Second Feet | | |
| 90 12 Jun 03 | 5,400 | 110 5 Aug 02 | 1 Most days | | |
| Max daily outflow occurred as part of normal irrigation releases. City release 0-2 cfs. No required min release. Historic Minimum Pool Elevation of 2275.82 occurred on many days 28-29 Nov 81 and 20 Jan to 1 Feb 82. | | | | | |

LOVEWELL RESERVOIR

2002 - 2003 REGULATION

A 10-YEAR POOL ELEVATION HYDROGRAPH IS SHOWN BELOW WITH THE CURRENT REPORTING PERIOD EXPANDED FOR READING EASE.




| Pool Elevation, ft. msl. | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Starting Period | Ending Period | Period Maximum | Period Minimum | Historic Maximum | Historic Minimum |
| 1575.95 1 Aug 02 | 1579.94 31 Jul 03 | 1586.52 24 Jun 03 | 1572.74 22 Aug 02 | 1595.34 22 Jul 93 | 1570.20 22 Aug 91 |
| Report Period Inflow and Outflow | | | | | |
| Maximum Daily Inflow Day Second Feet | Period Total Inflow Acre Feet | Maximum Daily Outflow Day Second Feet | Minimum Daily Outflow Day Second Feet | | |
| 3,000 23 Jun 2003 | 21,260 | 201 2 Jul 03 | 0 Most days | | |
| Maximum daily outflow is river release only. Maximum canal release 534 cfs on 12 Jul 01. No required min release. | | | | | |

WACONDA LAKE

2002 - 2003 REGULATION

A 10-YEAR POOL ELEVATION HYDROGRAPH IS SHOWN BELOW
WITH THE CURRENT REPORTING PERIOD EXPANDED FOR READING EASE.




| Pool Elevation, ft. msl. | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| Starting Period | Ending Period | Period Maximum | Period Minimum | Historic Maximum | Historic Minimum |
| 1452.98 1 Aug 02 | 1451.10 31 Jul 03 | 1452.98 1 Aug 02 | 1451.10 31 Jul 03 | 1487.02 29 Jul 93 | 1448.90 6-7 Dec 84 |
| Report Period Inflow and Outflow | | | | | |
| Maximum Daily Inflow Day Second Feet | Period Total Inflow Acre Feet | Maximum Daily Outflow Day Second Feet | Minimum Daily Outflow Day Second Feet | | |
| 800 4 Oct 02 | 48,400 | 140 1 Aug 02 | 18 Most days | | |
| The max daily outflow is river release only. Also have municipal releases from pool. Normal min release is 24 cfs. | | | | | |

WEBSTER RESERVOIR

2002 - 2003 REGULATION

A 10-YEAR POOL ELEVATION HYDROGRAPH IS SHOWN BELOW WITH THE CURRENT REPORTING PERIOD EXPANDED FOR READING EASE.



| Pool Elevation, ft. msl. | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Starting Period | Ending Period | Period Maximum | Period Minimum | Historic Maximum | Historic Minimum |
| 1882.56 1 Aug 02 | 1874.72 31 Jul 03 | 1882.56 1 Aug 02 | 1874.72 31 Jul 03 | 1907.04 5 Jun 95 | 1857.35 22-29 Oct 71 |
| Report Period Inflow and Outflow | | | | | |
| Maximum Daily Inflow Day Second Feet | Period Total Inflow Acre Feet | Maximum Daily Outflow Day Second Feet | Minimum Daily Outflow Day Second Feet | | |
| 140 9 Aug 02 | 6,780 | 175 2 Aug 02 | 0 Most days | | |
| All releases to river. Max daily outflow occurred as part of normal irrigation releases. No required minimum release. | | | | | |